A generalization of Weierstrass＇$\wp$－function to quasi－abelian varieties

理工学教育部

数理・ヒューマンシステム科学專攻
学籍番号 30971003
漕江 厚子

## Contents

Preface ..... 2
1 Cohomology Groups of a Punctured Polydisc ..... 4
1.1 Cohomology groups ..... 4
1.2 Generalized Martinelli formula ..... 7
1.3 Dolbeault isomorphism ..... 10
2 Zappa's Results ..... 14
2.1 Cohomology groups of a punctured torus ..... 14
$2.2 \quad \Gamma$-invariant forms ..... 17
2.3 Mittag-Leffler type theorem for the classes of Dolbeault ..... 21
2.4 Generalization of the Legendre relation ..... 27
2.5 Generalization of Weierstrass' $\wp$-function ..... 29
3 Generalization to Quasi-Abelian Varieties ..... 33
3.1 Toroidal groups and quasi-abelian varieties ..... 33
3.2 Cohomology groups and the Dolbeault isomorphism ..... 34
3.3 Definition of $\wp^{i j}$ ..... 35
3.4 Positive divisors ..... 36
3.5 Formula on a subdomain ..... 38
3.6 Main result ..... 40

## Preface

For a given lattice $\Gamma$ Weierstrass constructed a doubly periodic meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with period $\Gamma$. We call it Weierstrass' $\wp$-function. It was generalized on abelian varieties by Zappa in 1983. Let $T^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be an $n$-dimentional complex torus. $\Gamma$-invariant $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-forms on $\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ are considered as representatives of classes in $H^{n-1}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$. First, Zappa constructed a $\Gamma$ invariant $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form $\wp^{i}$, and then gave a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(n-1, n-1)$-form $\wp^{i j}$ on $T^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ with the following property:
If $T^{n}$ is an abelian variety and $\Theta$ is a divisor on $T^{n}$ defined by a theta function $\theta$, then we have

$$
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}(z-p)=-\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta\right|_{p}+\text { constant }
$$

In the case of one variable, this is just the relation between Weierstrass' $\wp$ function and a theta function.

The purpose of this paper is to give a further generalization of Zappa's result. We show that we can construct a similar ( $n-1, n-1$ )-form $\wp^{i j}$ even for a non-compact quasi-abelian variety.

This paper consists of three chapters. In Chapter 1, we explain in detail a part of the theory of Andreotti-Norguet which is the basis of our argument. We think that our proofs are more explicit and comprehensible than the original ones.

In Chapter 2, we state Zappa's result. Several lemmas and propositions are stated in more general setting in order to use them later.

In Chapter 3, Weierstrass' $\wp$-function is generalized on quasi-abelian varieties. Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a toroidal group. We can construct a $\Gamma$-invariant $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(n-1, n-1)$-form $\wp^{i j}$ on $X$ in the same manner as in the case of complex tori. But when we consider a positive divisor $\Theta$ on $X$, we do not know in general the convergence of the integral of $\wp^{i j}$ on $\Theta$, because $\Theta$ is not compact. Even if it converges, we are not able to apply the same argument as in the case of abelian varieties. We suppose that $X$ is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 with the standard compactification $\bar{X}$. We further assume that a positive divisor $\Theta$ on $X$ is holomorphically extendable to $\bar{X}$. Then we can prove that $\wp^{i j}$ is integrable on $\Theta$ and a similar equation as the case of abelian varieties is obtained (Theorem 3.7 in Chapter 3). To prove it, we first take a family of
relatively compact subdomains in $X$. We give formulas on these subdomains. As the limit of them we obtain the expected formula.
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## Chapter 1

## Cohomology Groups of a Punctured Polydisc

### 1.1 Cohomology groups

We give a proof of Lemma 2 in [5] in this section. This lemma is essentially Proposition 31.1 in [6]. The proof given here is more explicit than that in [6].

Let $D=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} ;|\zeta|<1\}$ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider a polydisc of $n$-dimention

$$
D^{n}:=D \times \cdots \times D
$$

Let $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ be coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Lemma 1.1 ([5]).
a) $H^{i}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0, n-1$.
b) $H^{0}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(D^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ if $n \geqq 2$.
c) $H^{n-1}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \cong\left\{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} c_{\alpha} z^{-\alpha} ; \lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0\right\}$.

Proof. If $n \geqq 2$, then (b) is clear, because $\{0\}$ is an analytic set of $D^{n}$ with codimention more than 1 .

We show a). For $i=1, \ldots, n$ we set $U_{i}:=\left\{z \in D^{n} ; z_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Then $\mathfrak{U}:=\left\{U_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a Stein covering of $D^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Therefore we get

$$
H^{q}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \cong H^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

by Leray's theorem. For any $\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{q+1}$, we set

$$
U_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}:=U_{i_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{q}} .
$$

Since $\mathfrak{U}$ consists of $n$ open sets, it is obvious that $H^{n}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})=0$. For any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{q+1}$, we define a map

$$
\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}: \Gamma\left(U_{j i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(U_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \mathcal{O}\right)
$$

by the following way:
When $j=i_{\mu}$ for some $\mu=0, \ldots, q$ we set $\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}=i d$. When $j \neq i_{\mu}$ for all $\mu=0, \ldots, q$, we take $R$ with $0<R<1$ such that $\left|z_{j}\right|<R$ for any $z=$ $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in U_{j i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}$. Let $C_{j}(R)$ be a circle with center 0 and radius $R$. For any $f \in \Gamma\left(U_{j i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ we define

$$
\left(\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f\right)(z):=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{C_{j}(R)} \frac{f\left(z_{1}, \ldots z_{j-1}, t_{j}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)}{t_{j}-z_{j}} d t_{j} .
$$

Then we have $\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f \in \Gamma\left(U_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \mathcal{O}\right)$.
If $z_{j} \neq 0$, then we take $r$ such that $0<r<\left|z_{j}\right|<R<1$ and denote by $C_{j}(r)$ a circle with center 0 and radius $r$. By Cauchy's integral theorem we can represent $f \in \Gamma\left(U_{j i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{C_{j}(R)} \frac{f\left(z_{1}, \ldots z_{j-1}, t_{j}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)}{t_{j}-z_{j}} d t_{j} \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{C_{j}(r)} \frac{f\left(z_{1}, \ldots z_{j-1}, t_{j}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)}{t_{j}-z_{j}} d t_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we note that

$$
\left(\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f\right)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{C_{j}(r)} \frac{f\left(z_{1}, \ldots z_{j-1}, t_{j}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)}{t_{j}-z_{j}} d t_{j}+f(z)
$$

for $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ with $z_{j} \neq 0$.
Let $r_{i_{0} \ldots i_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}$ be the restriction map from functions on $U_{i_{0} \ldots i_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}$ to those on $U_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}$, where $\hat{i_{\mu}}$ means that $i_{\mu}$ shall be omitted. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{cases}r_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots \hat{\mu_{\mu} \cdots q}}=\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \\ \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} r_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}=i d & \text { on } \Gamma\left(U_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}, \mathcal{O}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

For any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we define a map

$$
k_{j}: C^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow C^{q-1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

as follows:
For any $f=\left(f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}\right) \in C^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$ we set

$$
\left(k_{j} f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q-1}}:=\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q-1}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots i_{q-1}} .
$$

Let $\delta$ be the usual coboundary operater. We take any $f \in C^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. For any multiindex $\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{q+1}$ we calculate

$$
\left(f-\delta k_{j} f-k_{j} \delta f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}=f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\left(\delta k_{j} f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\left(k_{j} \delta f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta k_{j} f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} & =\sum_{\mu=0}^{q}(-1)^{\mu}\left(k_{j} f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots \hat{i_{\mu}} \ldots i_{q}} \\
& =\sum_{\mu=0}^{q}(-1)^{\mu} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots \hat{i_{\mu}} \ldots i_{q}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \hat{i_{\mu}} \ldots i_{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(k_{j} \delta f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} & =\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}(\delta f)_{j i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} \\
& =\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}\left(f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\sum_{\mu=0}^{q}(-1)^{\mu} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}}\right) \\
& =\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\sum_{\mu=0}^{q}(-1)^{\mu} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \widehat{\mu_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\left(\delta k_{j} f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\left(k_{j} \delta f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}= \\
& f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}+\sum_{\mu=0}^{q}(-1)^{\mu} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \widehat{\hat{\mu}_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}} \\
& -\sum_{\mu=0}^{q}(-1)^{\mu} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \hat{\mu_{\mu}} \ldots i_{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $j \notin\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\}$ we have $\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}=f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}$. Since

$$
\varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}}}=r_{i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{\mu}} \ldots i_{q}}^{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots \hat{i_{\mu}} \ldots i_{q}} f_{j i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{\mu} \ldots i_{q}},},
$$

we have in general

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\left(\delta k_{j} f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}}-\left(k_{j} \delta f\right)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} \\
&= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j \notin\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\} \\
\left(i d-r_{i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{\mu_{j}} \ldots i_{q}}}^{i_{0}} \varphi_{j}^{i_{0} \ldots \widehat{i_{j}} \ldots i_{q}}\right) f_{i_{0} \ldots i_{q}} & \text { if } j=i_{\mu} \text { for some } \mu,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{j}$ is $\mu$ with $j=i_{\mu}$. For any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we define a map

$$
\Phi_{j}: C^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow C^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

by

$$
\Phi_{j} f:=f-\delta k_{j} f-k_{j} \delta f
$$

for any $f \in C^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. It is obvious that $\Phi_{j}$ maps an element in $Z^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$ to $Z^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. Then we define a map

$$
\Phi: Z^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow Z^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

by $\Phi:=\Phi_{1} \circ \Phi_{2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{n}$. If $1 \leqq q \leqq n-2$, then for any $\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{q+1}$ there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $j \notin\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\}$. Hence we have $\Phi f=0$ for any $f \in Z^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. This means that $f \in B^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. Therefore we obtain

$$
H^{q}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})=0 \text { for } 1 \leqq q \leqq n-2
$$

Next we show c). First we note

$$
Z^{n-1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})=\Gamma\left(U_{12 \cdots n}, \mathcal{O}\right)
$$

Any $f \in \Gamma\left(U_{12 \cdots n}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ has the following Laurent expansion

$$
f=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} c_{\alpha} z^{-\alpha}+\sum_{\mu=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{n_{\mu}=0}^{\infty} g_{n_{\mu}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{z_{\mu}}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) z_{\mu}^{n_{\mu}},
$$

where $g_{n_{\mu}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{z_{\mu}}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ is a holomorphic function in $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\mu-1}, z_{\mu+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in$ $\left(D^{*}\right)^{n-1}$ and coefficients $c_{\alpha}$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0
$$

Let

$$
g_{12 \cdots \widehat{\mu} \cdots n}:=\sum_{\mu=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{n_{\mu}=0}^{\infty} g_{n_{\mu}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{z_{\mu}}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) z_{\mu}^{n_{\mu}} .
$$

Then we have an element $g=\left(g_{12 \ldots \hat{\mu} \cdots n}\right)$ in $C^{n-2}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O})$. Therefore we have

$$
f=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} c_{\alpha} z^{-\alpha}+\delta g
$$

which shows the isomorphism in c ).

### 1.2 Generalized Martinelli formula

Let $\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. For any $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ we define a $(0, n-1)$-form

$$
\psi_{\alpha}:=\left(\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}} \bar{z}_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\right)^{-n} \sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq n}(-1)^{j-1} \bar{z}_{j}^{\alpha_{j}} \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leqq k \leqq n \\ \bar{k} \neq j}} d\left({\overline{z_{k}}}^{\alpha_{k}}\right)
$$

Letting $d z:=\bigwedge_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} d z_{j}$, we consider an $(n, n-1)$-form $K_{\alpha}^{(n)}:=d z \wedge \psi_{\alpha}$. For any $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ we set

$$
\alpha+\mathbf{1}:=\left(\alpha_{1}+1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}+1\right) \text { and } \alpha^{\prime}:=\left(\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)$. We also set $\alpha!:=\prod_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} \alpha_{j}!$.

Let $B=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; \sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j}<1\right\}$ be the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. We denote by $S=\partial B$ the boundary of $B$. Let

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}}}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}}
$$

The equation in the following proposition is called the generalized Martinelli formula.

Proposition 1.2 (Proposition 1 in [4]). We have

$$
\int_{S} f K_{\alpha+1}^{(n)}=\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(0)
$$

for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\bar{B}$, where $B$ has the direction in which $\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^{n} d z \wedge d \bar{z}$ is positive and the direction of $S$ is compatible with the formula of Stokes.

Proof. If $n=1$, then it is just the integral formula of Cauchy. We prove the statement by induction on $n$. To prove it we temporarily take the direction of $B$ in which $\bigwedge_{1 \leqq j \leqq n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} d z_{j} \wedge d \overline{z_{j}}\right)$ is positive. Moreover, we assume that the direction of $S$ is compatible with Stokes's formula. We denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{\alpha}:=\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\left(\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}{\overline{z_{j}}}^{\alpha_{j}}\right)^{1-n} \sum_{2 \leqq j \leqq n}(-1)^{j j}{\overline{z_{j}}}^{\alpha_{j}} \bigwedge_{\substack{2 \leqq k \leqq n \\
k \neq j}} d\left({\overline{z_{k}}}^{\alpha_{k}}\right), \\
L_{\alpha}:=(-1)^{n} d z \wedge \theta_{\alpha} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We can check

$$
\psi_{\alpha}=\bar{\partial} \theta_{\alpha}, K_{\alpha}^{(n)}=\bar{\partial} L_{\alpha}=d L_{\alpha}
$$

at any point with $z_{1} \neq 0$ by straight calculation. For a multiindex $\beta=\left(\alpha_{1}+\right.$ $1, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ ) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S} f K_{\beta}^{(n)}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S \cap\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|>\varepsilon\right\}} d\left(f L_{\beta}\right) \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S \cap\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon\right\}} f L_{\beta} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-S \cap\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon\right\}} f \bigwedge_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} d z_{j} \\
& \left.\bigwedge \frac{1}{z_{1}^{\alpha+1}}\left(\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq n} z_{j}^{\beta_{j}} \overline{z_{j}}\right)^{\beta_{j}}\right)^{1-n} \sum_{2 \leqq j \leqq n}(-1)^{j} \overline{z_{j}} \alpha_{j} \bigwedge_{\substack{2 \leqq k \leqq n \\
k \neq j}} d\left(\overline{z_{k}} \alpha_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-S \cap\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon\right\}} f \frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+1}} \wedge\left(\bigwedge_{2 \leqq j \leqq n} d z_{j}\right) \\
& \bigwedge \frac{\sum_{2 \leqq j \leqq n}(-1)^{j} \overline{\bar{z}_{j} \alpha_{j}} \bigwedge_{\substack{2 \leqq k \leq n \\
k \neq j}} d\left(\bar{z}_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}\right)}{\left(\varepsilon^{2\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)}+\sum_{2 \leqq j \leqq n} z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}} \overline{z_{j} \alpha_{j}}\right)^{n-1}} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{\alpha_{1}!} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S \cap\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\left(\bigwedge_{2 \leqq j \leqq n} d z_{j}\right) \\
& \bigwedge \frac{\sum_{2 \leqq j \leqq n}(-1)^{j} \overline{z_{j}} \alpha_{j} \bigwedge_{2 \leqq k \leq n} d\left(\overline{z k}^{\alpha_{k}}\right)}{\left(\varepsilon^{2\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)}+\sum_{2 \leqq j \leqq n} z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}} \overline{z_{j}}{ }^{\alpha_{j}}\right)^{n-1}} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{\alpha_{1}!} \int_{S \cap\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}} K_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{(n-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption of induction we have

$$
\int_{S \cap\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}} K_{\alpha^{\prime}+\mathbf{1}^{\prime}}^{(n-1)}=\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}!} \frac{\partial^{\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial z_{2}^{\alpha_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots \partial z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right)(0)
$$

We note that the relation between the original direction of $B$ and one given here to prove the statement is as follows:

$$
\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^{n} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}=(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \bigwedge_{1 \leqq j \leqq n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} d z_{j} \bigwedge d \overline{z_{j}}\right) .
$$

Using the above result, we obtain the integral $\int_{S} f K_{\alpha+1}^{(n)}$ in the original direction
as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S} f K_{\alpha+1}^{(n)} & =(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{\alpha_{1}!} \frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}!} \frac{\partial^{\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial z_{2}^{\alpha_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots \partial z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the proof is completed.

### 1.3 Dolbeault isomorphism

We have seen cohomology groups of a punctured polydisc in Section 1.1. Lemma 1.1 c) shows that $H^{n-1}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ is a Fréchet space generated by cohomology classes

$$
z_{1}^{-\alpha_{1}-1} \cdots z_{n}^{-\alpha_{n}-1} \in \Gamma\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}, \mathcal{O}\right), \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}
$$

In this section, we study $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-forms corresponding to cohomology classes $z_{1}^{-\alpha_{-} 1} \cdots z_{n}^{-\alpha_{n}-1}$ by the Dolbeault isomorphism.

Lemma 1.3 (Lemma 4 in [5]). a) By the Dolbeault isomorphism the cohomology class of $z_{1}^{-\alpha_{1}-1} \cdots z_{n}^{-\alpha_{n}-1}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\right)$ corresponds to the $\bar{\partial}$-closed ( $0, n-1$ )-form (up to a sign)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-1)!\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}= \\
& (n-1)!\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}{\overline{z_{k}}}^{\alpha_{k}+1} d\left({\overline{z_{1}}}^{\alpha_{1}+1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\widehat{\bar{z}_{k}}{ }^{\alpha_{k}+1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left({\overline{z_{n}}}^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right|^{2}\right)^{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

b) The Dolbeault representative so chosen is such that to the class $\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} c_{\alpha} z^{-\alpha}$ with $\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{c_{\alpha}}=0$, corresponds to the $\bar{\partial}$-closed ( $0, n-1$ )-form expressed by absolutely convergent series

$$
(n-1)!\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} c_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha+1} \text { on } \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Proof. a) Let $\varepsilon^{(a, b)}$ be the sheaf of germs of $C^{\infty}(a, b)$-forms. We particularly set $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{(0,0)}$ when $(a, b)=(0,0)$. Since $\varepsilon^{(a, b)}$ is a fine sheaf, it follows that

$$
H^{q}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \varepsilon^{(a, b)}\right)=0 \text { for any } q \geqq 1
$$

There exists $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1 \ldots \widehat{i} \cdots n}\right) \in C^{n-2}(\mathfrak{U}, \varepsilon)$ such that $z^{-\alpha-1}=\delta \varphi$, i.e.

$$
z^{-\alpha-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \varphi_{1 \cdots \widehat{i} \cdots n},
$$

for we can consider $z^{-\alpha-1} \in C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{U}, \varepsilon)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \varphi_{1 \cdots \widehat{i} \cdots n}=0
$$

Therefore we have $\bar{\partial} \varphi=\left(\bar{\partial} \varphi_{1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots n}\right) \in Z^{n-2}\left(\mathfrak{U}, \varepsilon^{(0,1)}\right)$. Since

$$
H^{n-2}\left(\mathfrak{U}, \varepsilon^{(0,1)}\right) \cong H^{n-2}\left(D^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \varepsilon^{(0,1)}\right)=0
$$

there exists $\left(\varphi_{1 \cdots \widehat{i} \ldots \ldots \hat{j} \cdots n}\right) \in C^{n-3}\left(\mathfrak{U}, \varepsilon^{(0,1)}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{\partial} \varphi=\delta\left(\left(\varphi_{1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots \ldots \cdot \hat{j} \cdots n}\right)\right)=\left(\sum \pm \varphi_{1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots \cdots \cdot \widehat{j} \cdots n}\right) .
$$

Repeating this procedure, we obtain $\left(\varphi_{j}\right) \in C^{0}\left(\mathfrak{U}, \varepsilon^{(0, n-2)}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{\partial} \varphi_{i}=\bar{\partial} \varphi_{j} \text { for any } i, j \text { with } U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset
$$

Therefore we can define a $C^{\infty}(0, n-1)$-form $\Psi$ on $D^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ by

$$
\Psi:=\bar{\partial} \varphi_{i} \text { on } U_{i} .
$$

It is clear that $\bar{\partial} \Psi=0$. This form $\Psi$ is the Dolbeault representative corresponding to $z^{-\alpha-1}$.

We set

$$
P_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\left|z_{i}\right| \leqq \varepsilon ; i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon, \cdots,\left|z_{n}\right|=\varepsilon} z^{-\alpha-1} \sum c_{\beta} z^{\beta} d z_{1} \cdots d z_{n}=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n} c_{\alpha}
$$

for any convergent series $f=\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} c_{\beta} z^{\beta}$ in a neighbourhood of 0 in which $P_{\varepsilon}$ is contained. Namely $z^{-\alpha-1}$ are generators characterized by the above property.

Using Stokes's theorem and repeating correspondence between Čech cohomology classes and $\bar{\partial}$-cohomology classes, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon, \cdots,\left|z_{n}\right|=\varepsilon} z^{-\alpha-1} f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon, \cdots,\left|z_{n}\right|=\varepsilon}(-1)^{i-1} \varphi_{1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots n} f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon, \cdots,\left|z_{i}\right| \leqq \varepsilon, \cdots,\left|z_{n}\right|=\varepsilon} \bar{\partial} \varphi_{1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots n} f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n} \\
& =\cdots \\
& = \pm \sum \int_{\left|z_{i}\right|=\varepsilon,\left|z_{j}\right| \leqq \varepsilon(j \neq i)} \Psi f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n} \\
& = \pm \int_{\partial P_{\varepsilon}} \Psi f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
z^{-\alpha-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} \varphi_{1 \cdots \widehat{i} \cdots n}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\pm \int_{\partial P_{\varepsilon}} \Psi f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n}=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n} c_{\alpha} .
$$

Therefore, it holds that for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\bar{B}$

$$
\int_{\partial B} \Psi f d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n}= \pm(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}(\alpha!)^{-1} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(0),
$$

where $B$ is an open ball with center 0 and sufficiently small radius. For $K_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}=$ $\omega \wedge \psi_{\alpha+1}$ it holds that

$$
\int_{\partial B} f K_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}=\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(0)
$$

by Proposition 1.2 in the previous section. Therefore, the representative in the Dolbeault classes corresponding to $z^{-\alpha-1}$ is $(n-1)!\psi_{\alpha+1}$ (up to sign).
b) From (a) we see that the series

$$
(n-1)!\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} c_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha+1}
$$

formally corresponds to

$$
\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} c_{\alpha} z^{-\alpha} \text { with } \lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0
$$

Then it suffices to show that the above series converges absolutely on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Take $\delta$ with $0<\delta<1$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}>\delta$. Then we have $\left|z_{i}\right|>\frac{\delta}{n}$ for some $i$. Therefore we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}+2} \geqq\left|z_{i}\right|^{2 \alpha_{i}+2}>\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2 \alpha_{i}+2}>\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2|\alpha|+2}
$$

Consequently the absolute value of the coefficient of $d \overline{z_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d \overline{z_{j}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \overline{z_{n}}$ in $\psi_{\alpha+1}$ is estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{k \neq j} \alpha_{k}\left|z_{j}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot\left|z_{n}\right|^{\alpha_{n}}\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2|\alpha|+2}\right)^{-n} \\
& =\prod_{k \neq j} \alpha_{k}\left|z_{j}\right| \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2 n}}\left(\frac{\left|z_{1}\right|}{\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2 n}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\left|z_{n}\right|}{\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2 n}}\right)^{\alpha_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we see that the absolute value of the coefficient of $d \overline{z_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d \overline{z_{j}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \overline{z_{n}}$ in $\sum c_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha+1}$ is estimated by

$$
\left|z_{j}\right| \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2 n}} \sum\left|c_{\alpha}\right| w^{\alpha}, w_{i}:=\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{\left(\frac{\delta}{n}\right)^{2 n}}
$$

Hence the series converges uniformly on compact subsets in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.

## Chapter 2

## Zappa's Results

### 2.1 Cohomology groups of a punctured torus

Let $T^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a complex torus of $n$-dimension, where $\Gamma$ is a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow T^{n}$ be the canonical projection. We can take a neighbourhood $V$ of 0 in $T^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{-1}(V)=\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} U_{\gamma} \text { (disjoint union) } \\
\left.\pi\right|_{U_{\gamma}}: U_{\gamma} \rightarrow V
\end{gathered}
$$

is a biholomorphic mapping, where $U_{\gamma}$ is a polydisc with center $\gamma$. Applying Mayer-Vietoris' theorem to $T^{n}=V \cup\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, we obtain a cohomology exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{0}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{k}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow \\
& H^{k}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \oplus H^{k}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow \quad H^{k}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{n-2}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \\
& \quad \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{n-1}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \\
& \quad \rightarrow H^{n}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{n}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma1.1 a) in Chapter 1 and

$$
H^{i}(V, \mathcal{O})=0 \text { if } i \geqq 1,
$$

we have the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow \\
& H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
H^{n-1}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right) \cong H^{n-1}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \delta
$$

$H^{n-1}\left(T^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ is an $n$-dimensional vector space generated by $(0, n-1)$-forms with constant coefficients. $H^{n-1}\left(U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O})$. It is a Fréchet space generated by Čech cohomolgy classes expressed by $(z-$ $\gamma)^{-\alpha-1} \in \Gamma\left(\cap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}, \mathcal{O}\right), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ (Lemma 1.1). A $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form $(n-1)!\psi_{\alpha+1}(z, \gamma)$ corresponds to the cohomology class of $(z-\gamma)^{-\alpha-1}$ by the Dolbeault isomorphism, where

$$
\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma)=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}+1} \bigwedge_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n} d\left(\left(\overline{z_{j}}-\overline{\gamma_{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}\right)^{n}}
$$

(Lemma 1.3). For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, we set an open ball $B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$ with radius $\varepsilon$ and center $\gamma$. By the generalized Martinelli formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f \psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma) \wedge d z=\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(\gamma) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}$. Here we note that

$$
\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}} \wedge d z=(-1)^{n(n-1)} d z \wedge \psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}=d z \wedge \psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}
$$

If we set $\alpha=(0, \ldots, 0)$ in $(2.1)$, then we have

$$
\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f \psi_{\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma) \wedge d z=\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} f(\gamma)
$$

From the above equality it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \gamma^{\alpha}} \int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f \psi_{\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma) \wedge d z=\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial \gamma^{\alpha}}(\gamma) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we see that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ is generated by $\psi_{\alpha+\boldsymbol{1}}(|\alpha| \geqq 1)$. Let

$$
\phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma):=\frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \psi_{\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma)
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \gamma^{\alpha}} \psi_{\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma)=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \psi_{\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma),
$$

it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f\left(\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma)-\phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma)\right) \wedge d z=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}$.
Proposition 2.1 (Proposizione 1 in [9]). The form $\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}(z, \gamma)-\phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma)$ is $\bar{\partial}$-exact.

Proof. First we prove that $\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed. From (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f\left(\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}\right) \wedge d z \\
& =\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} d\left[f\left(\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}\right) \wedge d z\right] \\
& =\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f \bar{\partial}\left(\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}\right) \wedge d z
\end{aligned}
$$

for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}$. Since $f$ is arbitrary, it must be that $\bar{\partial}\left(\psi_{\alpha+1}-\phi_{\alpha}\right)=0$ on $B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$. Furthermore $\psi_{\alpha+\boldsymbol{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{\gamma\}$, because it is real analytic.

Then $\psi_{\alpha+1}-\phi_{\alpha}$ defines a $\bar{\partial}$-cohomology class in $H^{n-1}\left(U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$. Therefore there exists a ( $0, n-2$ )-form $\sigma$ such that

$$
\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}=(n-1)!\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} c_{\beta} \psi_{\beta+\mathbf{1}}+\bar{\partial} \sigma,
$$

where $\lim _{|\beta| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\beta|]{\left|c_{\beta}\right|}=0$. From (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f\left((n-1)!\sum c_{\beta} \psi_{\beta+\mathbf{1}}+\bar{\partial} \sigma\right) \wedge d z \\
& =\sum c_{\beta}(n-1)!\int_{S_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)} f \psi_{\beta+\mathbf{1}} \wedge d z \\
& =\sum(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n} \frac{c_{\beta}}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial z^{\beta}}(\gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)}$. Since $f$ is arbitrary, we have $c_{\beta}=0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$. Thus we obtain

$$
\psi_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}}-\phi_{\alpha}=\bar{\partial} \sigma
$$

By a straight calculation we obtain the following explicit representation of $\phi_{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma)=  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\alpha_{k}+1\right)\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}+1} \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d\left(\left(\overline{z_{j}}-\overline{\gamma_{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. When $\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0$, the series $\sum c_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha+1}$ and $\sum c_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}$ converge uniformly on any compact set of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{\gamma\}$, and give the same class in $H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{\gamma\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, it is clear that if $\sum c_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}$ is convergent, then the above two series give the same class. Threrfore we prove that $\sum c_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}$ converges.

Take a sufficiently small $\rho_{1}>0$ and a sufficiently large number $\rho_{2}$. We estimate the absolute value of any coefficient of $\phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma)$ on $\rho_{1} \leqq\|z-\gamma\| \leqq \rho_{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{k}\left(\alpha_{k}+1\right)\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}+1} \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d\left(\left(\overline{z_{j}}-\overline{\gamma_{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}+1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{\ell}+1\right)\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\overline{z_{j}}-\overline{\gamma_{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{j}} \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}},
\end{aligned}
$$

the absolute value of the coefficient of this $(0, n-1)$-form is estimated by

$$
\prod_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{\ell}+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}}\left|z_{k}-\gamma_{k}\right| \leqq|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n} \rho_{2}^{|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|}
$$

Therfore the absolute value of the coefficient of $\bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}$ in $\phi_{\alpha}$ is estimated by

$$
\frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!}|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n} \rho_{1}^{-2|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|} \rho_{2}^{|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|}
$$

Hence we see that the absolute value of the coefficient of $\bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}$ in $\sum c_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}$ is estimated by

$$
\sum\left|c_{\alpha}\right| \frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!}|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n} \rho_{1}^{-2|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|} \rho_{2}^{|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|c_{\alpha}\right| \frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!}|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n} \rho_{1}^{-2|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|} \rho_{2}^{|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{|\alpha|}}=\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0
$$

we obtain the conclusion.

## $2.2 \quad \Gamma$-invariant forms

We first prove the following lemma which is the key lemma showing the convergence of series. We state it in a general form in order to use it in the next chapter.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with rank $\Gamma=n+m(1 \leqq$ $m \leqq n)$. Then the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\|\gamma\|^{-\lambda}$ converges for $\lambda>n+m$.
Proof. Take generators $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+m}$ of $\Gamma$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we set
$\Gamma_{i}:=\left\{a_{1} \gamma_{1}+\cdots+a_{n+m} \gamma_{n+m} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+m} \in \mathbb{Z},\left|a_{j}\right| \leqq i(j=1, \ldots, n+m)\right\}$.

The number of elements of $\Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma_{i-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left(\Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma_{i-1}\right) & =(2 i+1)^{n+m}-(2 i-1)^{n+m} \\
& =2\left((2 i+1)^{n+m-1}+(2 i+1)^{n+m-2}(2 i-1)+\cdots+(2 i-1)^{n+m-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
(2 i+1)^{n+m-j}(2 i-1)^{j-1} \leqq 2^{2(n+m-1)} i^{n+m-1}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n+m$, we have

$$
\#\left(\Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma_{i-1}\right) \leqq A_{n, m} i^{n+m-1}
$$

where $A_{n, m}=2(n+m) 2^{2(n+m-1)}$. Let $k$ be the distance of the boundary of the parallelotope given by $\Gamma_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ from the origin. Then we have $\|\gamma\| \geqq k i$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma_{i-1}$.

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\|\gamma\|^{-\lambda} & =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma_{i-1}}\|\gamma\|^{-\lambda} \\
& \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{i} \backslash \Gamma_{i-1}}(k i)^{-\lambda} \\
& \leqq \frac{A_{n, m}}{k^{\lambda}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\lambda-(n+m-1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\lambda>n+m$, then $\lambda-(n+m-1)>1$. Then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\lambda-(n+m-1)}}$ converges.

For the later use we give the following proposition in a more general form (cf. Proposizione 2 in [9]).

Proposition 2.4. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with rank $\Gamma=n+m(1 \leqq$ $m \leqq n$ ). If a multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ satisfies $|\alpha|>-n+m+1$, then the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(z):=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Gamma$. Therefore it is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $\Gamma$-invariant $(0, n-1)$-form on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Gamma$.

Proof. Take any $\rho>0$. Suppose that $\|z\| \leqq \rho$ and $z \notin \Gamma$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{k}\left(\alpha_{k}+1\right)\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}+1} \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d\left(\left(\overline{z_{j}}-\overline{\gamma_{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{\ell}+1\right)\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right) \prod_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(\overline{z_{\ell}}-\overline{\gamma_{\ell}}\right)^{\alpha_{\ell}}(d \bar{z})_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that the absolute value of the coefficient of $\bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \bar{z}$ in $\phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma)$ for fixed $k$ is estimated from above by

$$
\frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n}}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!} \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n}\left|z_{\ell}-\gamma_{\ell}\right|^{\alpha_{\ell}}\left|z_{k}-\gamma_{k}\right|}{\|z-\gamma\|^{-2|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|}} .
$$

For all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ except a finite number of elements, we have $\|\gamma\|>2 \rho$. Since $\|z-\gamma\|>\frac{1}{2}\|\gamma\|$ for such a $\gamma$, the above estimate is further bounded by

$$
\frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n}}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!} 2^{|\alpha|+2 n-1}\|\gamma\|^{-(|\alpha|+2 n-1)}
$$

It holds that $|\alpha|+2 n-1>n+m$ if $|\alpha|>-n+m+1$. Then the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\|\gamma\|^{-(|\alpha|+2 n-1)}$ converges by Lemma 2.3. This shows that the series (2.5) converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Gamma$. It is obvious that $\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed and $\Gamma$-invariant.

The next proposition is also a general form of the result which is stated in [9] (cf. Proposizione 3 in [9]).
Proposition 2.5. If $\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0$, then the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha| \geqq \varepsilon_{0}} c_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly converges on compact subsets in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Gamma$, and is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $\Gamma$-invariant $(0, n-1)$-form on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Gamma$, where

$$
\varepsilon_{0}= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } m=n \\ 1 & \text { if } m=n-1 \\ 0 & \text { if } m<n-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Take any $\rho>0$. As in the proof of the previous proposition, the absolute value of the coefficient of $\bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}$ in the serie (2.6) is estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha| \geqq \varepsilon_{0}}\left|c_{\alpha}\right| d_{\alpha} 2^{|\alpha|+2 n-1}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\|\gamma\|^{-(|\alpha|+2 n-1)}\right) \\
& \leqq \sum_{|\alpha| \geqq \varepsilon_{0}}\left|c_{\alpha}\right| d_{\alpha}\left(\frac{A_{n, m}}{k^{|\alpha|+2 n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{|\alpha|+n-m}}\right) \\
& \leqq \sum_{|\alpha| \geqq \varepsilon_{0}}\left|c_{\alpha}\right| d_{\alpha} A_{n, m}\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^{|\alpha|+2 n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

on $\|z\| \leqq \rho$. Here we set

$$
d_{\alpha}=\frac{(|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|-1)!|\alpha+\mathbf{1}|^{n}}{(n-1)!(\alpha+\mathbf{1})!},
$$

and $k$ and $A_{n, m}$ are constants in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Since we have

$$
\lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|c_{\alpha}\right| d_{\alpha} A_{n, m}\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^{|\alpha|+2 n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{|\alpha|}}=\frac{2}{k} \lim _{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[|\alpha|]{\left|c_{\alpha}\right|}=0,
$$

the proof is complete.
We use the above proposition in the case of $\operatorname{rank} \Gamma=2 n$. The series $\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}$ and (2.6) are $\Gamma$-invariant and generate cohomology classes of $H^{n-1}\left(T^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$. But these do not generate all of ker $\delta$. In fact, classes of $|\alpha|=1$ are omitted. To construst a class of $|\alpha|=1$, we have to change it.

Let $\delta_{k}^{i}$ be kronecker's delta. We set $\delta^{i}=\left(\delta_{1}^{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}^{i}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$. We write $\phi_{\delta^{i}}=\phi^{i}$. Consider the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z):=\phi^{i}(z, 0)+\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\left(\phi^{i}(z, \gamma)-G^{i}(\gamma)\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G^{i}(\gamma)=\frac{n \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k} \overline{\gamma_{i} \gamma_{k}} \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}}{\|\gamma\|^{2 n+2}}
$$

Considering $\overline{\gamma_{i} \gamma_{k}}=\left(\left(\overline{\gamma_{i}}-\overline{z_{i}}\right)+\overline{z_{i}}\right)\left(\left(\overline{\gamma_{k}}-\overline{z_{k}}\right)+\overline{z_{k}}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z)=\phi^{i}(z, 0) \\
& +\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\left(\frac{n\left(\|\gamma\|^{2 n+2}-\|z-\gamma\|^{2 n+2}\right)}{(\|\gamma\|\|z-\gamma\|)^{2 n+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{\gamma_{i}}\right)\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right) \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{n}{\|\gamma\|^{2 n+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\left(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{\gamma_{i}}\right) \overline{z_{k}}+\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right) \overline{z_{i}}-\overline{z_{i} z_{k}}\right) \bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by a simple calculation. We have

$$
\left|\|\gamma\|^{2 n+2}-\|z-\gamma\|^{2 n+2}\right| \leqq c\|z\|\|\gamma\|^{2 n+1}
$$

with a suitable constant $c$ for $\|\gamma\|-\|z-\gamma\| \leqq\|z\|$. Therefore the absolute value of any coefficient of the series (2.7) is estimated by

$$
d \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}} \rho\|\gamma\|^{-(2 n+1)}
$$

on $\|z\| \leqq \rho$ for any $\rho>0$, where $d$ is a suitable constant. Then $\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}$ converges uniformly on any compact set of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Gamma$. We can prove that $\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant in an analogous way to the proof for Weierstrass' $\wp$-function.

Restrictions of $\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}$ constructed as above to $U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}$ generate ker $\delta$. By a simple calculation we obtain the following formulas for these forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha} & =-\left(\alpha_{k}+1\right) \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha+\delta^{k}} \text { for }|\alpha| \geqq 2, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \wp_{\Gamma}^{i} & =-\left(\delta_{k}^{i}+1\right) \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\delta^{i}+\delta^{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3 Mittag-Leffler type theorem for the classes of Dolbeault

For the sake of simplicity, we represent

$$
(d z)_{k}=\bigwedge_{j \neq k} d z_{j},(d \bar{z})_{k}=\bigwedge_{j \neq k} d \overline{z_{j}}
$$

from now on. We write the Martinelli kernel as follows

$$
\psi(z, \zeta):=\psi_{\mathbf{1}}(z, \zeta)=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\zeta_{k}}\right)(d \bar{z})_{k}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}-\zeta_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{n}}
$$

The Taylor expantion of $\psi(z, \zeta)$ is formally given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(z, \zeta) & =\psi(0, \zeta)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{z_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{j}}}\right)^{k} \psi(0, \zeta) \\
& =: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{z_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{j}}}\right)^{k} \psi(0, \zeta)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell \\|\beta|=k-\ell}} \frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(0, \zeta) z^{\alpha} \bar{z}^{\beta} .
$$

Let $\left|\psi_{k}\right|$ be the maximum value among the absolute values of coefficients of $\psi_{k}$.
Lemma 2.6 (Lemma 1 in [10]). We have

$$
\left|\psi_{k}\right| \leqq 8^{k}\binom{n+k-1}{n-1}\|z\|^{k}\|\zeta\|^{-2 n-k+1} \leqq \frac{2^{n-1}}{\|\zeta\|^{2 n-1}}\left(\frac{16\|z\|}{\|\zeta\|}\right)^{k} .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\left|\psi_{k}\right| \leqq \frac{2^{k}}{k!}\|z\|^{k} \max _{|\alpha|+|\beta|=k}\left|\frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(0, \zeta)\right| .
$$

We note

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(0, \zeta)\right|=\left|\frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(\zeta, 0)\right| .
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(z, 0)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\alpha|+\ell-1} \frac{(|\alpha|+n-1)!}{(n-1)!} \bar{z}^{\alpha+\delta^{\ell}}\|z\|^{-2(n+|\alpha|)}(d \bar{z})_{k}
$$

We have the following estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha+\delta^{\ell}}\|z\|^{-2(n+|\alpha|)}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{\eta+\nu=\beta} \frac{\beta!}{\eta!} \frac{\partial^{|\eta|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\eta}}\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha+\delta^{\ell}}\right) \frac{\partial^{|\nu|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\left(\|z\|^{-2(n+|\alpha|)}\right)\right| \\
& \leqq 2^{|\beta|} \max _{\eta+\nu=\beta}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\eta|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\eta}}\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha+\delta^{\ell}}\right) \frac{\partial^{|\nu|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\left(\|z\|^{-2(n+|\alpha|)}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\partial^{|\eta|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\eta}}\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha+\delta^{\ell}}\right)\right| \leqq \prod_{\eta_{i} \neq 0}\left(\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}-\eta_{i}+1\right)\|z\|^{\left|\alpha-\eta+\delta^{\ell}\right|} \\
& \left|\frac{\partial^{|\nu|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\left(\|z\|^{-2(n+|\alpha|)}\right)\right| \leqq(n+|\alpha|) \cdots(n+|\alpha|+|\nu|-1)\|z\|^{-2 n-2|\alpha|-|\nu|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\eta_{i} \neq 0}\left(\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}-\eta_{i}+1\right) & =\prod_{\eta_{i} \neq 0} \frac{\left(\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}\right)!}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\eta_{i}\right)!} \\
& \leqq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\eta_{i}=0}^{\alpha_{i}} \frac{\left(\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}\right)!}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\eta_{i}\right)!} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} 2^{\alpha_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\ell}} \\
& =2^{|\alpha|+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{|\eta|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\eta}}\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha+\delta^{\ell}}\right) \frac{\partial^{|\nu|}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\left(\|z\|^{-2(n+|\alpha|)}\right)\right| \leqq 2^{|\alpha|+1} \frac{(n+|\alpha|+|\beta|-1)!}{(n+|\alpha|-1)!}\|z\|^{-2 n-k+1} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(0, \zeta)\right| & =\left|\frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(\zeta, 0)\right| \\
& \leqq \frac{(|\alpha|+n-1)!}{(n-1)!} 2^{|\beta|} 2^{|\alpha|+1} \frac{(n+|\alpha|+|\beta|-1)!}{(n+|\alpha|-1)!}\|\zeta\|^{-2 n-k+1} \\
& =2^{k} 2 \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!}\|\zeta\|^{-2 n-k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{k}\right| & \leqq \frac{2^{k}}{k!}\|z\|^{k} 2^{k} 2 \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!}\|\zeta\|^{-2 n-k+1} \\
& \leqq 8^{k}\binom{n+k-1}{n-1}\|z\|^{k}\|\zeta\|^{-2 n-k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can represent $\psi_{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{k} & =\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell \\
|\beta|=k-\ell}} \frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(0, \zeta) z^{\alpha} \bar{z}^{\beta} \\
& =\sum_{|\alpha|+p=k} \sum_{|\beta|=p} \frac{1}{k!}\binom{k}{k-p} \frac{\partial^{k} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}(0, \zeta) z^{\alpha} \bar{z}^{\beta} \\
& =: \sum_{|\alpha|+p=k} \psi_{\alpha, p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Coefficients of $\psi_{\alpha, p}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $p$ in $\overline{z_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{z_{n}}$, and we have the representation

$$
\psi=\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha, p} .
$$

Similarly coefficients of $\bar{\partial} \psi_{\alpha, p}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $p-1$ in $\overline{z_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{z_{n}}$. Since

$$
\bar{\partial} \psi=\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \bar{\partial} \psi_{\alpha, p}
$$

and $\psi$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed, it must be that $\bar{\partial} \psi_{\alpha, p}=0$. Hence we have $\bar{\partial} \psi_{k}=0$. From the above fact and Lemma 2.6, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 2 in [10]). The Martinelli kernel $\psi(z, \zeta)(\zeta \neq 0)$ is expanded into Taylor series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_{k}$ of $\bar{\partial}$-closed forms which has norm convergence in a neighbourhood of the origin. The series is uniformly convergent on any compact set in the open ball with radius $\frac{\|\zeta\|}{16}$.

Definition 2.8 ([10]). Let $\omega$ be a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{\xi\}$. If there exists a form

$$
\sum_{|\beta| \leqq k} c_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}(z, \xi) \text { with } c_{\beta} \neq 0 \text { for some } \beta \text { of }|\beta|=k
$$

such that $\omega-\sum_{|\beta| \leqq k} c_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}(z, \xi)$ is $\bar{\partial}$-exact, then $\xi$ is called a singularity of polar type of order $k$ of $\omega$.

Since operators $\bar{\partial}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}$ are commutative, the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\alpha}(z, \xi) & =\frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(z, \xi) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \psi}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(z, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a sum of $\bar{\partial}$-closed forms. This series converges uniformly on $\|z\| \leqq \frac{\|\xi\|}{32}$ (Lemma 2.7).

The following theorem is a generalization of the theorem of Mittag-Leffler.
Theorem 2.9 (Teorema 1 in [10]). Let $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a discrete set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. We suppose that for every $\xi_{k}$ a form

$$
G_{k}(z)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqq m_{k}} a_{k, \alpha} \phi_{\alpha}\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)
$$

with singularity $\xi_{k}$ is given. Then there exists a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form $F(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Xi$ satisfying the following:
For any $\xi_{k}$, there exist an open neighbourhood $U_{k}$ of $\xi_{k}$ and a $(0, n-2)$-form $H_{k}(z)$ in $U_{k}$ such that

$$
F(z)-G_{k}(z)=\bar{\partial} H_{k}(z) .
$$

Proof. Renumbering if it is necessary, we may assume $\left\|\xi_{k+1}\right\| \geqq\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|$. By Lemma 2.7, we can take a $\bar{\partial}$-closed form $P_{k}(z)$ which consists of a partial sum in Taylor expansion of $G_{k}(z)$ such that

$$
\left|G_{k}(z)-P_{k}(z)\right| \leqq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} \text { for }\|z\| \leqq \frac{1}{32}\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|
$$

The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{k}(z)-P_{k}(z)\right)$ is uniformly convergent on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Xi$ and $\bar{\partial}$-closed. We set

$$
F(z):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{k}(z)-P_{k}(z)\right) .
$$

We show that the form $F(z)$ satisfies the required condition.
For any $\xi_{k}$, we take a Stein neighbourhood $U_{k}$ of $\xi_{k}$ such that $U_{k} \cap \Xi=\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$. $\sum_{k^{\prime} \neq k}\left(G_{k^{\prime}}(z)-P_{k^{\prime}}(z)\right)$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed form on $U_{k}$. The sum is $\bar{\partial}$-exact for $U_{k}$ is Stein. Furthermore, $P_{k}(z)$ is $\bar{\partial}$-exact for it is a partial sum. Hence,

$$
F(z)-G_{k}(z)=-P_{k}(z)+\sum_{k^{\prime} \neq k}\left(G_{k^{\prime}}(z)-P_{k^{\prime}}(z)\right)
$$

is $\bar{\partial}$-exact on $U_{k}$.
As in Theorem 2.9, let $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a discrete set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\left\|\xi_{k+1}\right\| \geqq$ $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\| \geqq 2(k \in \mathbb{N})$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\left|\psi_{k}\left(z, \xi_{\ell}\right)\right|<\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} \text { for }\|z\| \leqq \frac{\left\|\xi_{\ell}\right\|}{32}
$$

If we set

$$
P_{\ell}^{h}(z):=\psi\left(0, \xi_{\ell}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{h} \psi_{k}\left(z, \xi_{\ell}\right)
$$

then coefficients of $P_{\ell}^{h}(z)$ are polynomials of order $h$ in $z_{j}$ and $\overline{z_{j}}$. By the above estimate, we see that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-P_{k}^{k}(z)\right)
$$

converges uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Xi$.
Definition 2.10 ([10]). We define the exponent of convergence of $\Xi$ by

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\inf \left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{N} ; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{\gamma}}<+\infty\right\} .
$$

In the case that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{\gamma}}=+\infty$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\mathcal{C}:=\infty$.
Lemma 2.11 ([10]). If a discrete set $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ have the exponent of convergence $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-P_{k}^{\mathcal{C}-2 n}(z)\right)
$$

converges uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Xi$.
Proof. Since $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\| \rightarrow \infty(k \rightarrow \infty)$, for any $R>0$ there exists a natural number $N(R)$ such that

$$
\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|>32 R \text { for any } k \geqq N(R)
$$

Since we have the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-P_{k}^{\mathcal{C}-2 n}(z)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=\mathcal{C}-2 n+1} \psi_{\ell}\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)\right| \\
& \leqq \sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=\mathcal{C}-2 n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n-1}}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{2 n-1}}\left(\frac{16\|z\|}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|}\right)^{\ell} \\
& \leqq \sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=\mathcal{C}-2 n+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{16 R}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|}\right)^{\ell} \frac{2^{n-1}}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{2 n-1}} \\
& =\sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n-1}(16 R)^{\mathcal{C}-2 n+1}}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{\mathcal{C}}}\left(\sum_{\ell=\mathcal{C}-2 n+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{16 R}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|}\right)^{\ell+2 n-1+\mathcal{C}}\right) \\
& \leqq 2^{n-1}(16 R)^{\mathcal{C}-2 n+1} \sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{\mathcal{C}}} \sum_{\ell=\mathcal{C}-2 n+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell+2 n-1+\mathcal{C}}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

on $\|z\| \leqq R$, the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.12 (Teorema 2 in [10]). Let $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a discrete set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with exponent of convergence $\mathcal{C}$. Then a $\bar{\partial}$-close form $\omega$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \Xi$ with singularity of polar type of minimum order $(=1)$ at each point of $\Xi$ is given by

$$
\omega=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-P_{k}^{i}(z)\right)
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{cases}i=k & \text { if } \mathcal{C}=\infty \\ i=\mathcal{C}-2 n & \text { if } 2 n \leqq \mathcal{C}<\infty \\ P_{k}^{i}=0 & \text { if } \mathcal{C}<2 n\end{cases}
$$

And $\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}} \omega \wedge d z$ has the residue 1 at each point of $\Xi$.
Proof. (I) In the case of $\mathcal{C}=\infty$. We have already proved that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-\right.$ $\left.P_{k}^{i}(z)\right)$ converges. As in the proof of Theorem 2.9, we see that

$$
\omega-\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)=-P_{k}^{k}(z)+\sum_{\ell \neq k}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-P_{\ell}^{\ell}(z)\right)
$$

is $\bar{\partial}$-exact on a neighbourhood of $\xi_{k}$ for any $\xi_{k}(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Therefore $\xi_{k}$ is a singularity of polar type of order 1 for $\omega$.
(II) In the cace of $2 n \leqq \mathcal{C}<\infty$. By Lemma $2.11 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)-P_{k}^{\mathcal{C}-2 n}(z)\right)$ is convergent. The same argument as in (I) shows that $\xi_{k}$ is a singularity of polar type of order 1 for $\omega$.
(III) In the case of $\mathcal{C}<2 n$. It is enough to prove that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)$ converges uniformly on any compact set in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Xi$.

For any $R>0$, there exists a natural number $N(R)$ such that

$$
\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|>32 R \text { for any } k \geqq N(R)
$$

Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$
\left|\sum_{k=N(R)}^{\infty} \psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right)\right| \leqq 2^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|^{2 n-1}}<+\infty
$$

for $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\| \geqq R$.
(IV) Since $\omega$ has the minimum order 1 at each $\xi_{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}} \int_{S_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi_{k}\right)} \omega \wedge d z & =\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}} \int_{S_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi_{k}\right)} \psi\left(z, \xi_{k}\right) \wedge d z \\
& =\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}} \frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 1.2.

### 2.4 Generalization of the Legendre relation

In this section, we construct a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form corresponding to Weierstrass' $\zeta$-function. And we give a formula which generalizes the Legendre relation.

If $\Gamma$ is a lattice of maximal rank in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then its exponent of convergence is $2 n+1$ (see Lemma 2.3). Therefore, we can define the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\Gamma}(z) & :=\psi(z, 0)+\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\left(\psi(z, \gamma)-P_{\gamma}^{1}(z)\right) \\
& =\psi(z, 0)+\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\left(\psi(z, \gamma)-\psi(0, \gamma)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{i}}(0, \gamma) z_{i}+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \overline{z_{i}}}(0, \gamma) \overline{z_{i}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
P_{\gamma}^{1}(z)=\psi(0, \gamma)+\psi_{1}(z, \gamma) .
$$

We have the representation of $P_{\Gamma}^{1}(z)$ defined by $(2.7)$ as follows:

$$
P_{\Gamma}^{1}(z)=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{i}}(z, 0)+\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{i}}(z, \gamma)-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{i}}(0, \gamma)\right) .
$$

We note that $\zeta_{\Gamma}(z)$ has the following properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\Gamma}(z) & =-\zeta_{\Gamma}(-z) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \zeta_{\Gamma}(z) & =-\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Noting that $\psi\left(z+\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(z, \gamma^{\prime}-\gamma\right)$ for all $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{\Gamma}(z+\gamma, 0)-\zeta_{\Gamma}(z) \\
& \begin{aligned}
&=\psi(z,-\gamma)-\psi(z, 0)+\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}}\left(\psi\left(z, \gamma^{\prime}-\gamma\right)-\psi\left(z, \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
&-\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{i}}\left(0, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{i}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}\left(0, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \overline{\gamma_{i}}\right) \\
&=-\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma \backslash\{0\}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{i}}\left(0, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{i}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \overline{z_{i}}}\left(0, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \overline{\gamma_{i}}\right) \\
&=: \eta_{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{2 n}$ be generators of $\Gamma$. We write $\gamma_{j}=\left(\gamma_{1 j}, \ldots, \gamma_{n j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}(j=$ $1, \ldots, 2 n)$. We set

$$
G:=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{2 n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{11} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,2 n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\gamma_{n 1} & \cdots & \gamma_{n, 2 n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $C_{G}(0)$ be the fundamental parallelotope with center at the origin. Using faces

$$
F_{k}^{ \pm}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; z= \pm \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{k}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{2 n} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{j},\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2}\right\}, k=1, \ldots, 2 n,
$$

we can represent the boundaty $\partial C_{G}(0)$ of $C_{G}(0)$ as

$$
\partial C_{G}(0)=\sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k-1} F_{k}^{+}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k} F_{k}^{-}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{\Gamma}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}(z)(d \bar{z})_{i} \\
\eta_{\gamma_{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_{i j}(d \bar{z})_{i}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 2 n
\end{gathered}
$$

By the generalized Martinelli formula, we have

$$
\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!}=\int_{\partial C_{G}(0)} \zeta_{\Gamma}(z) \wedge d z
$$

We represent the detarminant of the matrix which omits the $i$-th row and the $k$-th column from $\binom{\bar{G}}{G}$ as $\left|\begin{array}{c}\bar{G} \\ G\end{array}\right|_{i, k}$. Take real variables $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{2 n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
z_{n}
\end{array}\right)=G\left(\begin{array}{c}
t_{1} \\
\vdots \\
t_{2 n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the above formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!}= & \left.\sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k-1} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}(z(t))\right|_{F_{k}^{+}}\left|\begin{array}{c}
\bar{G} \\
G
\end{array}\right|_{i, k} d t_{1} \cdots \widehat{d t_{k}} \cdots d t_{2 n} \\
& +\left.\sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}(z(t))\right|_{F_{k}^{-}}\left|\begin{array}{c}
\bar{G} \\
G
\end{array}\right|_{i, k} d t_{1} \cdots \widehat{d t_{k}} \cdots d t_{2 n} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k-1} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left.\zeta_{i}(z(t))\right|_{F_{k}^{+}}-\left.\zeta_{i}(z(t))\right|_{F_{k}^{-}}\right) \\
& \times\left|\begin{array}{c}
G \\
G
\end{array}\right|_{i, k} d t_{1} \cdots \widehat{d t_{k}} \cdots d t_{2 n} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_{i k} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\begin{array}{c}
\bar{G} \\
G
\end{array}\right|_{i, k} d t_{1} \cdots \widehat{d t_{k}} \cdots d t_{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get a generalization of the Legendre relation

$$
\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{k-1} \eta_{i k}\left|\begin{array}{l}
\bar{G}  \tag{2.8}\\
G
\end{array}\right|_{i, k} .
$$

### 2.5 Generalization of Weierstrass' $\wp$-function

In this section, we assume that an $n$-dimentional complex torus $M=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ is an abelian variety. For the sake of simplicity, we write $\wp^{i}(z)=\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z)$ omitting $\Gamma$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Consider $\wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p})$ for any $\widetilde{p} \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. We can consider $\wp^{i}(z)$ as a form on $M$, because it is $\Gamma$-invariant. We write $\wp^{i}(z-p)$ when $\wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p})$ is considered on $M$. We treat other $\Gamma$-invariant forms and functions in the same manner. Let $B$ be a sufficiently small open ball containing $p$. It holds by (2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{i}}(p)=\int_{\partial B} f(z) \wp^{i}(z-p) \wedge d z \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any holomorphic function $f$ on a neighbourhood of $\bar{B}$.
Definition 2.13 ([11]). We define a $\bar{\partial}$-closed ( $n-1, n-1$ )-form $\wp^{i j}(z)$ on $M \backslash\{0\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp^{i j}(z):=\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}(-1)^{j-1} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge\left(d z_{j}\right) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark . Dolbeault classes of $\wp^{i j}$ and its derivatives generate $H^{n-1}(M \backslash$ $\left.\{p\}, \Omega^{n-1}\right)$ as a Frechét space.

There exists a theta function $\theta(\not \equiv 0)$ for $M$ is an abelian variety. We can take a positive $C^{\infty}$ function $h$ such that $\omega=h|\theta|^{2}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant. Let $\Theta$ be the divisor on $M$ defined by $\theta=0$.

Proposition 2.14 (Proposizione 1 in [11]). Let $p \notin \Theta$, and take $\widetilde{p} \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. Let $Q$ be a fundamental parallelotope such that $\widetilde{p}$ is not contained in the interior $Q^{\circ}$ of $Q$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}(z-p)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\widetilde{p})+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\widetilde{p}) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take an open ball $B$ with center $p$ and sufficiently small rudius such that $\pi^{-1}(B) \cap \partial Q=\emptyset$. Let $T$ be a tubular neighbourhood of $\Theta$ with piecewise differentiable boundary such that $\bar{T} \cap \bar{B}=\emptyset$. We can take a $C^{\infty}$ function $\rho$ with $\rho \geqq 0$ on $M$ such that

$$
\rho(q)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } q \in \bar{T} \\ 0 & \text { if } q \in \bar{B}\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j} & =\int_{\Theta} \rho \wp^{i j}  \tag{2.12}\\
& =\left\langle-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \right| \theta\left|, \rho \wp^{i j}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{M} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}-\int_{M} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{M} \partial \log \omega \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{M} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

by the Poincaré-Lelong equation. Since $\bar{\partial} \partial \log |\theta|^{2}=0$ on $M \backslash \Theta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M} \partial \log \omega \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho \wedge \wp^{i j}  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \partial \log \omega \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho \wedge \wp^{i j} \\
& =\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}-\bar{\partial}\left(\partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}\right) \\
& =\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial B} \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial T} \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} \\
& =\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial T} \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have
(2.14) $\quad \int_{M} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}=\int_{T} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}$.

From (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(-\int_{\partial T} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{T} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Stokes' theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} & =\int_{M} d\left(\partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& =-\int_{\partial T} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since $\bar{\partial} \partial \log h=\bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega$ on $M \backslash T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\partial T} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} & =\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} \\
& =\int_{M \backslash(B \cup T)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{M \backslash B} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2.15). Setting $B^{\prime}:=\pi^{-1}(B) \cap Q$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M \backslash B} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j} & =\int_{Q \backslash B^{\prime}} d\left(\partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
& =\int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\partial \log |\theta|^{2}=\partial \log \theta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} & =\int_{\partial B^{\prime}}\left(\partial \log h+\partial \log |\theta|^{2}\right) \wedge \wp^{i j}  \tag{2.19}\\
& =\int_{\partial B^{\prime}}(\partial \log h+\partial \log \theta) \wedge \wp^{i j}
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of $\wp^{i j}$ and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \partial \log \theta \wedge \wp^{i j} & =\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log \theta \wp^{i j}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge d z \\
& =2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\widetilde{p})
\end{aligned}
$$

We finally obtain

$$
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\widetilde{p})+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}
$$

Lemma 2.15 (Proposizione 2 in [11]). The term $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\widetilde{p})$ in (2.11) is a constant independent of $p$.
Proof. By the definition of $\wp^{i j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\widetilde{p}) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}(-1)^{j-1} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge(d z)_{j} \\
& =\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}(-1)^{n} \int_{\partial Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h \wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h$ is the exponent of a polynomial of degree 2 in $z_{i}$ and $\overline{z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h$ is represented as the following linear polynomial

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(a_{j r} z_{r}+b_{j r} \overline{z_{r}}\right)+c_{j}
$$

It holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{F_{\ell}^{+} \cup F_{\ell}^{-}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h \wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge d z  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(a_{j r} \gamma_{r \ell}+b_{j r} \overline{\gamma_{r \ell}}\right) \int_{F_{\ell}^{-}} \wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge d z
\end{align*}
$$

because $\wp^{i} \wedge d z$ is $\Gamma$-invariant. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the integral

$$
\int_{F_{\ell}^{-}} \wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge d z
$$

is independent of $p$.
Let $H_{\ell}:=\pi\left(F_{\ell}^{-}\right)$be the real $(2 n-1)$-dimensional hypersurface of $M$ determined by $F_{\ell}^{-}$. We have

$$
\int_{F_{\ell}^{-}} \wp^{i}(z-\widetilde{p}) \wedge d z=\int_{H_{\ell}} \wp^{i}(z-p) \wedge d z=\int_{H_{\ell}-p} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z
$$

We take a different point $q \in M$ which does not lie on $H_{\ell}$. We denote by $D$ the domain in $M$ surrounded by $H_{\ell}-p$ and $H_{\ell}-q$. Then we have

$$
\int_{H_{\ell}-p} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z-\int_{H_{\ell}-q} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z=\int_{D} d\left(\wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z\right)=0
$$

Combining Propositon 2.14 with Lemma 2.15, we obtain the following theorem which shows that $\wp^{i j}$ is a generalization of Weierstrass' $\wp$-function.

Theorem 2.16 (Teorema in [11]). Let $\Theta$ be the above divisor. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}(z-p)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\widetilde{p})+c_{i j} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i j}$ is a constant independent of $p$.

## Chapter 3

## Generalization to Quasi-Abelian Varieties

### 3.1 Toroidal groups and quasi-abelian varieties

Connected complex Lie groups on which holomorphic functions are only constants are called toroidal groups. It is known that a toroidal group is commutative. Then it is considered as a quotient $\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ with rank $\Gamma=n+m(1 \leqq m \leqq n)$. When rank $\Gamma=2 n$, it is a complex torus. In this section, we treat the case of non-compact toroidal groups.

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a toroidal group with $\operatorname{rank} \Gamma=n+m(1 \leqq m \leqq n-1)$. Take generators $\gamma_{1}=\left(\gamma_{11}, \ldots, \gamma_{1 n}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{n+m}=\left(\gamma_{n+m, 1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+m, n}\right)$ of $\Gamma$. The matrix

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{11} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+m, 1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\gamma_{1 n} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+m, n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

given by these generators is called a period matrix of $X$. By a suitable change of variables and generators, we can write $P$ as

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & I_{m} & T  \tag{3.1}\\
I_{n-m} & R_{1} & R_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{k}$ is the unit matrix of degree $k$, the matrix $\left(I_{m} T\right)$ is a period matrix of an $m$-dimensional complex torus, and $\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right)$ is a real matrix. We say that coordinates in the expression (3.1) are toroidal coordinates. The condition $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O})=\mathbb{C}$ is written in terms of $\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right)$ (see [2] for details). We write the toroidal coordinates as

$$
z=\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)
$$

In the following we use these coordinates. Let $\mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m}$ be the real linear subspace
of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ spanned by $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\Gamma}^{m}:=\mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m} \cap \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m}
$$

is the maximal complex linear subspace contained in $\mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m}$, which is of complex dimension $m$. A toroidal group $X$ is called a quasi-abelian variety if there exists a hermition form $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that
(a) $\mathcal{H}$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{C}_{\Gamma}^{m}$,
(b) the imaginary part $\mathcal{A}:=\mathfrak{I m} \mathcal{H}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-valued on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$.

A hermitian form $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the above conditions (a) and (b) is said to be an ample Riemann form for $X$. We set $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}:=\left.\mathcal{A}\right|_{\mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m} \times \mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m}}$ for an ample Riemann form $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is an alternating form, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}=2(m+k), 0 \leqq k \leqq \frac{1}{2}(n-m) .
$$

In this case we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is of kind $k$. If a quasi-abelian variety $X$ has an ample Riemann form of kind $k$, then it also has an ample Riemann form of kind $k^{\prime}$ for any $k^{\prime}$ with $k \leqq k^{\prime} \leqq \frac{1}{2}(n-m)$. Then the kind of a quasi-abelian variety was defined in [3] as follows.

Definition 3.1. The kind of a quasi-abelian variety $X$ is the smallest integer $k$ with $0 \leqq k \leqq \frac{1}{2}(n-m)$ such that there exists an ample Riemann form of kind $k$ for $X$.

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 . Then the matrix $\left(I_{m} T\right)$ in (3.1) is a period matrix of an $m$-dimensional abelian variety $A$. The projection $z \mapsto z^{\prime}$ induces a $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n-m}$-bundle $\sigma: X \rightarrow A$ over $A$. Replacing fibres $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n-m}$ with $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n-m}$, we obtain the associated $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n-m}$-bundle $\bar{\sigma}: \bar{X} \rightarrow A$ over $A$. We say that $\bar{X}$ is the standard compactification of a quasi-abelian variety $X$ of kind 0 .

### 3.2 Cohomology groups and the Dolbeault isomorphism

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a toroidal group with $\operatorname{rank} \Gamma=n+m(1 \leqq m \leqq n-1)$. It has the canonical projection $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$. Let 0 be the unit element of $X$. We can take a neighbourhood $V$ of 0 in $X$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{-1}(V)=\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} U_{\gamma} \text { (disjoint union) } \\
\left.\pi\right|_{U_{\gamma}}: U_{\gamma} \rightarrow V
\end{gathered}
$$

is a biholomorphic mapping, where $U_{\gamma}$ is a polydisc with center $\gamma$. In the same way as in Section 2.1 in Chapter 2, we obtain the following cohomology exact
sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{0}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{0}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{k}(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \\
& H^{k}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{k}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{k}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{n-2}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \\
& \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{n-1}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \\
& \rightarrow H^{n}(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{n}(V, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{n}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{n}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

When rank $\Gamma=n+m$, the toroidal group $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ is strongly $(m+1)$ complete. Then we have

$$
H^{i}(X, \mathcal{O})=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \geqq m+1
$$

Since $n \geqq 2$, we have

$$
H^{0}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O})=H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O})=\mathbb{C}
$$

Furthermore we have

$$
H^{i}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O})=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \neq 0, n-1
$$

(Lemma 1.1 in Chapter 1). Substituting these results to the above exact sequence, we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 3.2 (Proposition 1 in [1]). For a toroidal group $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{i}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^{i}(X, \mathcal{O}) \text { for } i \neq n-1, \\
H^{n-1}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O})
\end{gathered}
$$

Since cohomology groups $H^{i}(X, \mathcal{O})$ of a toroidal group $X$ is comletely determined by [7] and [8], the above proposition shows that if $H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O})$ is decided, then we can understand cohomology groups of a punctured toroidal group $X \backslash\{0\}$. We note that

$$
H^{n-1}(V \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^{n-1}\left(U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}, \mathcal{O}\right)
$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Especially, when $1 \leqq m<n-1$, we have $H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O})=0$ and

$$
H^{n-1}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^{n-1}\left(U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}, \mathcal{O}\right)
$$

When $m=n-1, H^{n-1}(X \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{O})$ is generated by $H^{n-1}\left(U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ and $H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O})$. The $(n-1)$-th cohomology group $H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O})$ can be of $n$ dimension or non-Hausdorff.

### 3.3 Definition of $\wp^{i j}$

The $(n-1)$-th cohomology group $H^{n-1}\left(U_{\gamma} \backslash\{\gamma\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ is generated by $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma) ; \alpha \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\right\}$ as shown in Chapter 2. Now we treat the case that $1 \leqq m \leqq n-1$. Then we have $-1+m+1 \leqq 0$. Therefore,

$$
\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(z):=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi_{\alpha}(z, \gamma)
$$

converges for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ with $|\alpha| \geqq 1$ by Proposition 2.4. Then, we can define $\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z)$ without a modification as in (2.7). Hence, we set

$$
\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z):=\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\delta^{i}}(z) .
$$

By the definition of $\varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}$ the following equality is obvious

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}=-\left(\alpha_{k}+1\right) \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\alpha+\delta^{k}}
$$

especially

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z)=-\left(\delta_{k}^{i}+1\right) \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{\delta^{i}+\delta^{k}} .
$$

For the sake of simplicity we write $\wp^{i}(z)=\wp_{\Gamma}^{i}(z)$ omitting $\Gamma$. It is obvious that $\wp^{i}(z)$ has the property (2.9) in Section 2.5 in Chapter 2. We give the following definition of $\wp^{i j}$ as the case of a complex torus.

Definition 3.3. We define a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(n-1, n-1)$-form $\wp^{i j}(z)$ on a punctured toroidal group $X \backslash\{0\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp^{i j}(z):=\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}(-1)^{j-1} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge(d z)_{j} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

While $\wp^{i}(z)$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with singularities $\Gamma$, it is $\Gamma$-invariant. Then we can consider it as a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-1)$-form on $X$ with a singularity 0 . Let $p \in X$ and $\tilde{p} \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. If we write $\wp^{i}(z-p)$, then it is considered as a form on $X$. And if we write $\wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p})$, then it is a form on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. We treat $\wp^{i j}$ and other $\Gamma$-invariant forms and functions in the same manner.

### 3.4 Positive divisors

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 . We take generators $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+m}$ of $\Gamma$ such that the period matrix $P=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+m}\right)$ is of the form in (3.1). For any $j=1, \ldots, n-m$, we set

$$
v_{j}:=\left(\delta_{1}^{m+j}, \ldots, \delta_{m+j-1}^{m+j}, \sqrt{-1} \delta_{m+j}^{m+j}, \delta_{m+j+1}^{m+j}, \ldots, \delta_{n}^{m+j}\right) .
$$

Then $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+m}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-m}$ are a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Any $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is represented uniquely by

$$
z=\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} s_{i} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} t_{j} v_{j}, \quad s_{i}, t_{j} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

For a fixed $z^{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ we define the fundamental parallelotope $Q$ of $X$ with center $z^{0}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q:=\left\{z^{0}+z ; z=\right. & \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} s_{i} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} t_{j} v_{j},-\frac{1}{2}<s_{i}<\frac{1}{2}(i=1, \ldots, n+m), \\
& \left.t_{j} \in \mathbb{R}(j=1, \ldots, n-m)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote an $(n-m)$-tuple of positive numbers $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n-m}$ by $R=\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n-m}\right)$. Let $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ be two $(n-m)$-tuples of positive numbers. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{R, R^{\prime}}: & =\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \\
& \left\{\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-m} ;-R_{j}^{\prime}<\mathfrak{I m} z_{m+j}<R_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n-m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a subdomain of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Since the period matrix $P$ of $X$ is of the form in (3.1), $\Gamma$ acts on $D_{R, R^{\prime}}$ for any $R$ and $R^{\prime}$. Then we can define a subdomain $X_{R, R^{\prime}}:=$ $D_{R, R^{\prime}} / \Gamma$ of $X$. Let $\bar{X}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ be the closure of $X_{R, R^{\prime}}$ in $X$. We denote

$$
Q_{R, R^{\prime}}:=Q \cap D_{R, R^{\prime}} \text { and }(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}:=\partial Q \cap D_{R, R^{\prime}}
$$

where $\partial Q$ is the boundary of $Q$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Let $\Theta$ be a positive devisor on $X$. It determines a holomorphic line bundle $L=[\Theta]$ over $X$. It is well-known that $L$ is given by a factor of automorphy $\rho(\gamma, z)$. A system of local defining functions $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ of $\Theta$ corresponds to an automorphic form $\theta$ for $\rho(\gamma, z)$, that is, $\theta$ is an entire function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\theta(z+\gamma)=\rho(\gamma, z) \theta(z)
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We note that if $X$ is an abelian variety, then $\theta$ is a theta function. A hermitian fibre metric of $L$ gives a positive valued $C^{\infty}$ function $h$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=h|\theta|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with period $\Gamma$. Then $\omega$ is considered as a function on $X$. It follows from this property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log h(z+\gamma)+\log |\rho(\gamma, z)|^{2}=\log h(z) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. For a positive divisor $\Theta$ we set

$$
\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}:=\Theta \cap X_{R, R^{\prime}}
$$

### 3.5 Formula on a subdomain

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a quasi-abelian variety. Suppose that $\Theta$ is a generalized theta divisor, that is, it is a positive divisor of which factor of automorphy $\rho(\gamma, z)$ is the exponent of a linear polynomial. We take a point $p \in X$ with $p \notin \Theta$. Let $\tilde{p} \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. We can take a fundamental parallelotope $Q$ of $X$ such as $\tilde{p}$ is an interior point of $Q$. Let $B$ be a small open ball centered at $p$ such that $\partial Q \cap \pi^{-1}(\bar{B})=\phi$. We denote by $T$ an open neighbourhood of $\Theta$ with piecewise differentiable boundary such that $\bar{T} \cap \bar{B}=\phi$. For any two $(n-m)$-tuples $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ of positive numbers, we set

$$
T_{R, R^{\prime}}:=T \cap X_{R, R^{\prime}}, \quad(\partial T)_{R, R^{\prime}}:=\partial T \cap X_{R, R^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
E_{R, R^{\prime}}:=\partial X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(\partial T_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial T)_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where $\partial X_{R, R^{\prime}}$ and $\partial T_{R, R^{\prime}}$ are boundaries of $X_{R, R^{\prime}}$ and $T_{R, R^{\prime}}$ in $X$ respectively. We take a $C^{\infty}$ function $\rho$ on $X$ with $0 \leqq \rho \leqq 1$ such that $\rho=1$ on $\bar{T}$ and $\rho=0$ on $\bar{B}$.

Proposition 3.4 (Proposition 3 in [1]). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} \wp^{i j}(z-p) & =-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\tilde{p}) \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-p)  \tag{3.5}\\
& +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-p),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta, h$ and $\omega$ are functions as in the previous section.
Proof. We first note that the current on $X_{R, R^{\prime}}$ determined by $\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}$ is extended to a linear functional on the space of $C^{\infty}(n-1, n-1)$-forms on $\bar{X}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ since $\bar{X}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ is compact. Furthermore, the Poincaré-Lelong equation holds for such an extended linear functional. Then we have by (3.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} \wp^{i j}(z-p)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} \rho \wp^{i j}(z-p) \\
& =\left\langle-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \right| \theta\left|, \rho \wp^{i j}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\left\langle\partial \log \omega, \bar{\partial} \rho \wedge \wp^{i j}\right\rangle-\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}}} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\bar{\partial} \partial \log |\theta|^{2}=0$ on $X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash T_{R, R^{\prime}}, \rho=1$ on $\bar{T}$ and $\rho=0$ on $\bar{B}$, we obtain
(3.7) $\left\langle\partial \log \omega, \bar{\partial} \rho \wedge \wp^{i j}\right\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(B \cup T_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)} \partial \log \omega \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho \wedge \wp^{i j} \\
& =\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(B \cup T_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}-\bar{\partial}\left(\partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(B \cup T_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}-\int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j} \\
& \quad+\int_{(\partial T)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}}} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}=\int_{T_{R, R^{\prime}}} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}  \tag{3.8}\\
&+\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(B \cup T_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \rho \wp^{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it follows from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} \wp^{i j}(z-p)  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}(- \\
& \quad \int_{(\partial T)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{T_{R, R^{\prime}}} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j} \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \rho \wp^{i j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Stokes' theorem we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(B \cup T_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} \\
& \quad=\int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{(\partial T)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $\bar{\partial} \partial \log \omega=\bar{\partial} \partial \log h$ on $X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash T_{R, R^{\prime}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{(\partial T)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash\left(B \cup T_{R, R^{\prime}}\right)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j} .
$$

Then it follows from (3.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} & \wp^{i j}(z-p)  \tag{3.11}\\
= & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime} \backslash B}} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}+\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We set $B^{\prime}:=\pi^{-1}(B) \cap Q$. By (3.4) it is obvious that $\bar{\partial} \partial \log h$ is $\Gamma$-invariant for $\Theta$ is a generalized theta divisor. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{X_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash B} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j} & =\int_{Q_{R, R^{\prime} \backslash B^{\prime}}} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}-\int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, noting $\partial \log |\theta|^{2}=\partial \log \theta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}=\int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}=\int_{\partial B^{\prime}}(\partial \log h+\partial \log \theta) \wedge \wp^{i j} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition (3.2) of $\wp^{i j}$ and its property (cf. (2.10)), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \partial \log \theta \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) & =\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log \theta \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z \\
& =2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\tilde{p}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain by (3.13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B} \partial \log \omega \wedge \wp^{i j}=\int_{\partial B^{\prime}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}+2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\tilde{p}) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, substituting (3.12) and (3.14) in (3.11), we finally obtain the desired equality (3.5).

### 3.6 Main result

Let $R=\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n-m}\right)$ be an $(n-m)$-tuple of positive numbers. When $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n-m} \rightarrow+\infty$, we simply write $R \rightarrow+\infty$. In the previous section we have given the formula (3.5) on a subdomain $X_{R, R^{\prime}}$. A passage to the limit as $R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$ implies the main formula.

We assume throughout this section that $X$ is a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 with the standard compactification $\bar{X}$ and $\Theta$ is a generalized theta divisor.

Proposition 3.5. If $\Theta$ has the holomorphic extension $\bar{\Theta}$ on $\bar{X}$, then $\wp^{i j}(z-p)$ is integrable on $\Theta$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}(z-p) & =\lim _{R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} \wp^{i j}(z-p)  \tag{3.15}\\
& =-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial z_{i}} \log \theta(\tilde{p})+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})=\lim _{R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})
$$

Proof. By the assumption, the function $\omega$ and the hermitian fibre metric $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ which gives $h$ are extendable smoothly to $\bar{X}$. Moreover we can take a neighbourhood $T$ of $\Theta$ whose closure $\bar{T}$ in $\bar{X}$ is a tubular neighbourhood of $\bar{\Theta}$. Then coefficients of $\partial \log \omega$ are bounded on a neighbourhood of $(\bar{X} \backslash X) \backslash(\bar{T} \backslash T)$.

We recall the definition of

$$
\wp^{i}(z)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_{i}(z, \gamma) .
$$

Here we write $\varphi_{i}(z, \gamma)=\phi^{\delta^{i}}(z, \gamma)$. We have the following explicit representation of $\varphi_{i}(z, \gamma)$

$$
\varphi_{i}(z, \gamma)=n \frac{\left(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{\gamma_{i}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\overline{z_{k}}-\overline{\gamma_{k}}\right)(d \bar{z})_{k}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{n+1}}
$$

Then the absolute value of any coefficient of $\varphi_{i}(z, \gamma)$ is estimated from above by $n /\|z-\gamma\|^{2 n}$. Therefore, considering the definition (3.2) of $\wp^{i j}(z)$, we see that the absolute value of any coefficient of $\wp^{i j}(z)$ is bounded by the following series multiplied by a constant

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\|z-\gamma\|^{2 n}}
$$

We rewrite the last term in the formula (3.5) in Proposition 3.4 as follows:

$$
\int_{E_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-p)=\int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})
$$

Since $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m}$ and

$$
D_{R, R^{\prime}} \cong \mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}^{n+m} \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-m}\left(-R_{j}^{\prime}, R_{j}\right)
$$

there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(z^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} z^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\| \leqq M
$$

for any $z \in \bar{Q}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ and any $(n-m)$-tuples $R, R^{\prime}$ of positive numbers, where we write $\mathfrak{R e} z^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathfrak{R e} z_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{R e} z_{n}\right)$. Let $\tilde{p}=\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be the representation of $\tilde{p}$ in toroidal coordinates. If we set

$$
\Gamma_{0}:=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma ;\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\| \leqq 2 M\right\}
$$

then $\Gamma_{0}$ is a finite set. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$
\|z-\gamma\|^{2}=\left\|\left(z^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} z^{\prime \prime}\right)-\gamma\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathfrak{I m} z^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

where $\mathfrak{I m} z^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathfrak{I m} z_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{I m} z_{n}\right)$ and $\left\|\mathfrak{I m} z^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-m}\left|\mathfrak{I m} z_{m+j}\right|^{2}$. For any $(n-m)$-tuples $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ of positive numbers, we set $R_{i}^{0}:=\min \left\{R_{i}, R_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-m$ and $R^{0}:=\left(R_{1}^{0}, \ldots, R_{n-m}^{0}\right)$. We denote $\left\|R^{0}\right\|^{2}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n-m}\left(R_{j}^{0}\right)^{2}$. We may assume that $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ are so large that

$$
\left\|\mathfrak{I m} z^{\prime \prime}-\Im \mathfrak{I m} w^{\prime \prime}\right\| \geqq \frac{1}{2}\left\|R^{0}\right\|
$$

for all $z \in \partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z-\tilde{p}-\gamma\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(z^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} z^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)-\gamma\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathfrak{I m} z^{\prime \prime}-\mathfrak{I m} w^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geqq\left(\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\|-\left\|\left(z^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} z^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|\right)^{2}+\left\|\mathfrak{I m} z^{\prime \prime}-\mathfrak{I m} w^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& >\frac{1}{4}\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|R^{0}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}$ and any $z \in \partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}$. Therefore the absolute value of any coefficient of $\partial \log \omega \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})$ is estimated from above by

$$
C\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\|z-\tilde{p}-\gamma\|^{2 n}}+\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}} \frac{1}{\left(\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\|^{2}+\left\|R^{0}\right\|^{2}\right)^{n}}\right)
$$

on $\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}$, where $C$ is a constant independent of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$. If $R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$, then $R^{0} \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}} \frac{1}{\|z-\tilde{p}-\gamma\|^{2 n}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}} \frac{1}{\left(\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{\Re e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\|^{2}+\left\|R^{0}\right\|^{2}\right)^{n}}<\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}} \frac{1}{\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{\Re e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\|^{2 n}}<+\infty
$$

we have

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}} \frac{1}{\left(\left\|\left(w^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R e} w^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma\right\|^{2}+\left\|R^{0}\right\|^{2}\right)^{n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log \omega \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Next we rewrite the second term in the right-hand side of (3.5) in Proposition 3.4 as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same manner as above we can see that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q_{R, R^{\prime}} \backslash(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge(\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

By the definition of $\wp^{i j}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \partial \log h \wedge & (\rho-1) \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})= \\
& -\frac{(n-1)!}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{n}} \int_{(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Theta$ is a generalized theta divisor, $h$ is the exponent of a polynomial of degree 2 in $z_{k}$ and $\overline{z_{k}}$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{j k} z_{k}+b_{j k} \overline{z_{k}}\right)+c_{j} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that the fundamental parallelotope $Q$ is centered at the origin. Then $(\partial Q)_{R, R^{\prime}}$ consists of the following faces

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\ell}^{ \pm}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right) & :=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; z= \pm \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\ell}+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq \ell}}^{n+m} s_{i} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} t_{j} v_{j}\right. \\
\left|s_{i}\right| & \left.\leqq \frac{1}{2}(i=1, \ldots, \widehat{\ell}, \ldots, n+m),-R_{j}^{\prime} \leqq t_{j} \leqq R_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n-m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\ell=1, \ldots, n+m$. If we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\ell}^{ \pm}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; z\right. & = \pm \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\ell}+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq \ell}}^{n+m} s_{i} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} t_{j} v_{j} \\
\left|s_{i}\right| & \left.\leqq \frac{1}{2}(i=1, \ldots, \widehat{\ell}, \ldots, n+m), t_{j} \in \mathbb{R}(j=1, \ldots, n-m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\partial Q$ is the union of all $F_{\ell}^{ \pm}$. It follows from (3.16) that for any $z \in F_{\ell}^{-}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h\left(z+\gamma_{\ell}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h(z)=c_{j \ell} .
$$

On the other hand, $\wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z$ is the same on two opposite faces $F_{\ell}^{+}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$ and $F_{\ell}^{-}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$ for it is $\Gamma$-invariant. Then we obtain

$$
\int_{F_{\ell}^{+}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right) \cup F_{\ell}^{-}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \log h \wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z=c_{j \ell} \int_{F_{\ell}^{-}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)} \wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z
$$

The absolute value of any coefficient of $\wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z$ is bounded from above by the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} 1 /\|z-\gamma\|^{2 n}$ multiplied by a constant. From a similar argument as above it follows that $\wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z$ is integrable on $F_{\ell}^{ \pm}$and

$$
\int_{F_{\ell}^{ \pm}\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)} \wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z \rightarrow \int_{F_{\ell}^{ \pm}} \wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z \quad \text { as } \quad R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Thus we finally obtain
$\lim _{R, R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Theta_{R, R^{\prime}}} \wp^{i j}(z-p)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\tilde{p})+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})$.

Lemma 3.6 (Lemma 2 in [1]). The term $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial Q} \partial \log h \wedge \wp^{i j}(z-\tilde{p})$ in (3.15) is a constant independent of $p$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the integral

$$
\int_{F_{\ell}^{-}} \wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z
$$

is independent of $p$.
Let $H_{\ell}:=\pi\left(F_{\ell}^{-}\right)$be the real $(2 n-1)$-dimensional hypersurface of $X$ determined by $F_{\ell}^{-}$. We have

$$
\int_{F_{\ell}^{-}} \wp^{i}(z-\tilde{p}) \wedge d z=\int_{H_{\ell}} \wp^{i}(z-p) \wedge d z=\int_{H_{\ell}-p} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z
$$

We take a different point $q \in X$ which does not lie on $H_{\ell}$. We denote by $D_{p, q}$ the subdomain of $X$ surrounded by $H_{\ell}-p$ and $H_{\ell}-q$. For any $(n-m)$-tuple $R$ of positive numbers we set $D_{p, q}(R):=D_{p, q} \cap X_{R, R}, H_{p}(R):=\left(H_{\ell}-p\right) \cap X_{R, R}$ and $H_{q}(R):=\left(H_{\ell}-q\right) \cap X_{R, R}$. Let $E_{p, q}^{+}(R)$ and $E_{p, q}^{-}(R)$ be two components of $\partial D_{p, q}(R) \cap \partial X_{R, R}$. Then we have

$$
\partial D_{p, q}(R)=H_{p}(R) \cup H_{q}(R) \cup E_{p, q}^{+}(R) \cup E_{p, q}^{-}(R) .
$$

By Stokes' theorem we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{H_{p}(R)} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z-\int_{H_{q}(R)} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z+\int_{E_{p, q}^{+}(R)} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z-\int_{E_{p, q}^{-}(R)} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z \\
=\int_{D_{p, q}(R)} d\left(\wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, it follows that

$$
\int_{E_{p, q}^{ \pm}(R)} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\int_{H_{\ell}-p} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z=\int_{H_{\ell}-q} \wp^{i}(z) \wedge d z,
$$

which completes the proof.
Combining Proposition 3.5 with Lemma 3.6, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7 (Theorem 1 in [1]). Let $X$ be a quasi-abelian variety of kind 0 with the standard compactification $\bar{X}$. Let $\Theta$ be a positive divisor on $X$ given by a holomorphic function $\theta$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $\Theta$ is holomorphically extendable to $\bar{X}$, then $\wp \wp^{i j}$ is integrable on $\Theta$ and we have

$$
\int_{\Theta} \wp^{i j}(z-p)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial z_{j}} \log \theta(\tilde{p})+c_{i j}
$$

for any $p \in X \backslash \Theta$, where $\tilde{p} \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ and $c_{i j}$ is a constant independent of $p$.
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