# Quasi-abelian varieties given by certain algebraic number fields

#### Yukitaka Abe

**Abstract.** Let  $K_0$  be a totally real algebraic number field. We consider an n-dimensional algebraic extension K of  $K_0$  which has two complex conjugate fields over  $K_0$  and n-2 real ones. We construct a quasi-abelian variety from K.

## 1. Introduction

In the previous paper [1] we defined  $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ -quasi-abelian varieties for general algebraic number fields, and investigated their properties. It seems to us that it is not easy to use general  $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ -quasi-abelian varieties practically. However, a usual quasi-abelian variety has a good projective algebraic compactification which will provide some useful tools for the progress of this subject. Then we treat algebraic number fields which give quasi-abelian varieties in this paper.

We consider a totally real algebraic number field  $K_0$  of degree m. Let K be an n-dimensional extension of  $K_0$  which has two complex conjugate fields and n-2 real ones over  $K_0$ . As in [1] we define a map  $\Psi: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m(n-1)}$  by embeddings of K over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{o}_K$  be the ring of integers of K. Then  $X := \mathbb{C}^{m(n-1)}/\Psi(\mathfrak{o}_K)$  is a toroidal group ([3], see also [1] for a simple proof). We prove the following theorem which is a generalization of a result in [3].

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 32M05;\ Secondary\ 14K22,\ 11G15.$ 

28 Yukitaka Abe

Theorem. X is a quasi-abelian variety.

We note that the word " $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ -" can be dropped in the results in [1] for these algebraic number fields.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $K_0$  be a totally real algebraic number field of degree m, whose real embeddings over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are  $\varrho_i: K_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,m$ . We consider an n-dimensional algebraic extension K of  $K_0$  with two complex conjugate fields over  $K_0$  and n-2 real conjugate fields over  $K_0$ . Let  $\tau_i, \overline{\tau}_i, \sigma_i^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_i^{(n-2)}$  be the extensions of  $\varrho_i$  to K for  $i=1,\ldots,m$  such that  $\tau_i(K), \overline{\tau}_i(K) \not\subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma_i^{(j)}(K) \subset \mathbb{R}$  for  $j=1,\ldots,n-2$ . We define a map  $\Psi: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m(n-2)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m(n-1)}$  by

$$\Psi(a) := (\tau_1(a), \dots, \tau_m(a), \sigma_1^{(1)}(a), \dots, \sigma_m^{(1)}(a), \dots, \sigma_1^{(n-2)}(a), \dots, \sigma_m^{(n-2)}(a))$$

for any  $a \in K$ . We set  $\Gamma := \Psi(\mathfrak{o}_K)$ . Then  $X := \mathbb{C}^{m(n-1)}/\Gamma$  is a toroidal group. We refer to [2] for the definitions of toroidal groups and quasi-abelian varieties and their basic properties. We denote  $X_{\mathfrak{o}} := \mathbb{C}^{m(n-1)}/\Psi(\mathfrak{o})$  for any order  $\mathfrak{o}$  of K. Then  $X_{\mathfrak{o}}$  is also a toroidal group. Since all  $X_{\mathfrak{o}}$  are isogeneous, the following lemma is obvious.

**L**EMMA 1. If  $X_{\mathfrak{o}}$  is a quasi-abelian variety for some order  $\mathfrak{o}$ , then so is any  $X_{\mathfrak{o}'}$ , especially X is a quasi-abelian variety.

Let  $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ , which are also a basis of  $K_0$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We take  $x \in \mathfrak{o}_K$  such as  $K = K_0(x)$ . We set  $y_i := \tau_i(x)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . Then the imaginary part  $\mathrm{Im}(y_i)$  is non-zero. The following lemma is due to Andreotti and Gherardelli [3].

**L**EMMA **2.** We can take  $x \in \mathfrak{o}_K$  such that  $\text{Im}(y_i) > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

Proof. By a map  $K_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $a \longmapsto^t (\varrho_1(a), \dots, \varrho_m(a))$  we can define an  $\mathbb{R}$ -isomorphism  $\tilde{\varrho}: K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $\eta_i := \operatorname{Im}(y_i), i = 1, \dots, m$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < |\eta_i|$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $\varrho_i(K_0)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\xi_i \in \varrho_i(K_0)$  such that  $|\xi_i - \eta_i| < \varepsilon/2$ . Then we have  $\xi \in K_0$  such that

$$|\tilde{\varrho}_i(\xi) - \xi_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\tilde{\varrho}(\xi) = {}^{t}(\tilde{\varrho}_{1}(\xi), \dots, \tilde{\varrho}_{m}(\xi))$ . This means that

$$\operatorname{Im}(\tau_i(\xi x)) = \tilde{\varrho}_i(\xi)\eta_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Furthermore there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k\xi \in \mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ . If we newly take  $k\xi x$  as x, then it has the desired properties.

# 3. A lemma on polynomials

We define a polynomial  $a_k^{(r)}(t_1,\ldots,t_r)$  in r variables  $t_1,\ldots,t_r$  for  $r\in\mathbb{N}$  and  $k=-1,0,1,\ldots$  by

$$a_k^{(r)}(t_1, \dots, t_r) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = k} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_r^{i_r} & \text{if } k \ge 1\\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 0 & \text{if } k = -1. \end{cases}$$

Fixing  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r, \xi_{r+1} \in \mathbb{C}$ , we consider a polynomial  $P_k^{(r)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; \xi_{r+1}; T)$  in a variable T of degree k defined by

$$P_k^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; \xi_{r+1}; T) := \sum_{j=0}^k a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=k-j} \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} T^{\beta} \right).$$

Here we note  $P_0^{(r)} = 1$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**L**EMMA **3.** For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and k = 0, 1, ... we have

$$P_k^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; \xi_{r+1}; T) - P_k^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; \xi_{r+1}; \xi_{r+2})$$

$$= (T - \xi_{r+2}) P_{k-1}^{(r+1)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+1}; \xi_{r+2}; T),$$

where  $\xi_{r+2} \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* First we have

$$\begin{split} P_k^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; \xi_{r+1}; T) &- P_k^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; \xi_{r+1}; \xi_{r+2}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \left( \sum_{\alpha + \beta = k - j} \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} \left( T^{\beta} - \xi_{r+2}^{\beta} \right) \right) \\ &= (T - \xi_{r+2}) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \left( \sum_{\alpha + \beta = k - j} \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{\gamma + \delta = \beta - 1 \atop \beta \ge 1} \xi_{r+2}^{\gamma} T^{\delta} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

By a straight calculation we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=k-j} \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{\gamma+\delta=\beta-1} \xi_{r+2}^{\gamma} T^{\delta} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1-j} \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{\gamma+\delta=k-1-j-\alpha} \xi_{r+2}^{\gamma} T^{\delta} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1-j} a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{\gamma+\delta=k-1-(j+\alpha)} \xi_{r+2}^{\gamma} T^{\delta} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j+\alpha=s} a_j^{(r)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \xi_{r+1}^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{\gamma+\delta=k-1-s} \xi_{r+2}^{\gamma} T^{\delta} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} a_s^{(r+1)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \xi_{r+1}) \left( \sum_{\gamma+\delta=k-1-s} \xi_{r+2}^{\gamma} T^{\delta} \right)$$

$$= P_{k-1}^{(r+1)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+1}; \xi_{r+2}; T).$$

Thus the proof completes.

# 4. Proof of the theorem

We use the notations in the previous sections. Let  $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ . We may assume that  $K = K_0(x)$  with  $x \in \mathfrak{o}_K$  and x has the property in Lemma 2. Then the following is a basis of K over  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

$$1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}, x, x\alpha_1, \ldots, x\alpha_{m-1}, \ldots, x^{n-1}, x^{n-1}\alpha_1, \ldots, x^{n-1}\alpha_{m-1}.$$
We set  $\alpha_{ij} := \varrho_i(\alpha_j) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_i^{(j)} := \sigma_i^{(j)}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$  and  $j = 1, \ldots, n-2.$ 

We denote by  $\mathfrak{o}$  the order of K generated by the above basis. It suffices to show that  $X_{\mathfrak{o}}$  is quasi-abelian, by Lemma 1. Let P be the period matrix of  $X_{\mathfrak{o}}$  given by the above basis of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Then we have

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & YA & Y^2A & \cdots & Y^{n-1}A \\ A & X^{(1)}A & (X^{(1)})^2A & \cdots & (X^{(1)})^{n-1}A \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A & X^{(n-1)}A & (X^{(n-2)})^2A & \cdots & (X^{(n-2)})^{n-1}A \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_{m1} & \cdots & \alpha_{m,m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X^{(\ell)} := \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(\ell)} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & x_m^{(\ell)} & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n-2$$

and

$$Y := \left(\begin{array}{ccc} y_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & y_m \end{array}\right).$$

We shall transform P into the standard form of a period matrix of a quasiabelian variety. If we set

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} I & Y & Y^2 & \cdots & Y^{n-1} \\ I & X^{(1)} & (X^{(1)})^2 & \cdots & (X^{(1)})^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ I & X^{(n-2)} & (X^{(n-2)})^2 & \cdots & (X^{(n-2)})^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$P = Q \left( \begin{array}{ccc} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{array} \right),$$

where I is the unit matrix of degree m. Therefore it is sufficient to consider the transformation of Q.

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be square matrices of degree k. We write  $P_1 \simeq P_2$  if there exists  $M \in GL(k, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $MP_1 = P_2$ . We first obtain

$$Q \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ Q_0 \\ \hline I \mid X^{(n-2)} & \cdots & (X^{(n-2)})^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B_{0,1} & B_{0,2} & \cdots & B_{0,n-1} \\ C_{0,1}^{(1)} & C_{0,2}^{(1)} & \cdots & C_{0,n-1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{0,1}^{(n-3)} & C_{0,2}^{(n-3)} & \cdots & C_{0,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_{0,k} = (Y - X^{(n-2)}) \sum_{i+j=k-1} (X^{(n-2)})^i Y^j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$C_{0,k}^{(\ell)} = (X^{(\ell)} - X^{(n-2)}) \sum_{i+j=k-1} (X^{(n-2)})^i (X^{(\ell)})^j$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\ell = 1, \dots, n-3$ .

Next we consider the transformation of  $Q_0$ . We note that  $C_{0,1}^{(n-3)}$  is a non-singular matrix for  $C_{0,1}^{(n-3)} = X^{(n-3)} - X^{(n-2)}$ . Substracting the (n-2)-nd row multiplied by  $B_{0,1}(C_{0,1}^{(n-3)})^{-1}$  from the first row and the (n-2)-nd row multiplied by  $C_{0,1}^{(\ell)}(C_{0,1}^{(n-3)})^{-1}$  from the  $(1+\ell)$ -th row, we obtain

$$Q_0 \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & Q_1 & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \hline C_{0,1}^{(n-3)} & C_{0,2}^{(n-3)} & \cdots & C_{0,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$Q_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} & \cdots & B_{1,n-2} \\ C_{1,1}^{(1)} & C_{1,2}^{(1)} & \cdots & C_{1,n-2}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{1,1}^{(n-4)} & C_{1,2}^{(n-4)} & \cdots & C_{1,n-2}^{(n-4)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$(C_{0,1}^{(n-3)})^{-1}C_{0,k+1}^{(n-3)} = \sum_{i+j=k} (X^{(n-2)})^i (X^{(n-3)})^j,$$

we have

$$B_{1,k} = B_{0,k+1} - B_{0,1} (C_{0,1}^{(n-3)})^{-1} C_{0,k+1}^{(n-3)}$$

$$= (Y - X^{(n-2)}) \sum_{i+j=k} (X^{(n-2)})^i \left( Y^j - (X^{(n-3)})^j \right)$$

$$= \prod_{p=n-3}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) \sum_{i+j=k} (X^{(n-2)})^i \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=j-1 \ \alpha \ge 1}} (X^{(n-3)})^{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{p=n-3}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \ j \ge 1}} (X^{(n-2)})^i \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=j-1 \ \alpha+\beta=j-1}} (X^{(n-3)})^{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{p=n-3}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) \sum_{j'=0}^{k-1} (X^{(n-2)})^{j'} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=k-1-j' \ \alpha+\beta=k-1-j'}} (X^{(n-3)})^{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{p=n-3}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) P_{k-1}^{(1)} (X^{(n-2)}; X^{(n-3)}; Y)$$

for k = 1, ..., n - 2. Similarly we have

$$C_{1,k}^{(\ell)} = \prod_{p=n-3}^{n-2} (X^{(\ell)} - X^{(p)}) P_{k-1}^{(1)}(X^{(n-2)}; X^{(n-3)}; X^{(\ell)})$$

for k = 1, ..., n - 2 and  $\ell = 1, ..., n - 4$ .

Suppose that we have already obtained the matrix  $Q_r$  for  $1 \le r < n-3$  such that

$$Q_{r-1} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & Q_r & & \\ \hline 0 & & & & \\ \hline C_{r-1,1}^{(n-r-2)} & C_{r-1,2}^{(n-r-2)} & \cdots & C_{r-1,n-r}^{(n-r-2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_r = \begin{pmatrix} B_{r,1} & B_{r,2} & \cdots & B_{r,n-r-1} \\ C_{r,1}^{(1)} & C_{r,2}^{(1)} & \cdots & C_{r,n-r-1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{r,1}^{(n-r-3)} & C_{r,2}^{(n-r-3)} & \cdots & C_{r,n-r-1}^{(n-r-3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_{r,k} = \prod_{p=n-r-2}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) P_{k-1}^{(r)} (X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; Y)$$

for  $k = 1, \ldots, n - r - 1$  and

$$C_{r,k}^{(\ell)} = \prod_{p=n-r-2}^{n-2} (X^{(\ell)} - X^{(p)}) P_{k-1}^{(r)} (X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(\ell)})$$

for k = 1, ..., n - r - 1 and  $\ell = 1, ..., n - r - 3$ . We note that the matrix

$$C_{r,1}^{(n-r-3)} = \prod_{p=n-r-2}^{n-2} (X^{(n-r-3)} - X^{(p)})$$

is non-singular. Then we can carry out the same procedure as in the case r = 0. Hence we obtain  $Q_{r+1}$  such that

$$Q_r \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & Q_{r+1} & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \hline C_{r,1}^{(n-r-3)} & C_{r,2}^{(n-r-3)} & \cdots & C_{r,n-r-1}^{(n-r-3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_{r+1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{r+1,1} & B_{r+1,2} & \cdots & B_{r+1,n-r-2} \\ C_{r+1,1}^{(1)} & C_{r+1,2}^{(1)} & \cdots & C_{r+1,n-r-2}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{r+1,1}^{(n-r-4)} & C_{r+1,2}^{(n-r-4)} & \cdots & C_{r+1,n-r-2}^{(n-r-4)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} B_{r+1,k} = B_{r,k+1} - B_{r,1} (C^{(n-r-3)})^{-1} C_{r,k+1}^{(n-r-3)}, \\ C_{r+1,k}^{(\ell)} = C_{r,k+1}^{(\ell)} - C_{r,1}^{(\ell)} (C_{r,1}^{(n-r-3)})^{-1} C_{r,k+1}^{(n-r-3)}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$B_{r,1} = \prod_{p=n-r-2}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}),$$

$$B_{r,k+1} = \prod_{p=n-r-2}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) P_k^{(r)} (X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; Y)$$

and

$$(C_{r,1}^{(n-r-3)})^{-1}C_{r,k+1}^{(n-r-3)} = P_k^{(r)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(n-r-3)}),$$

we have

$$B_{r+1,k} = \prod_{p=n-r-2}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) \times \left( P_k^{(r)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; Y) - P_k^{(r)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(n-r-3)}) \right).$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\left(P_k^{(r)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; Y) - P_k^{(r)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-1)}; X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(n-r-3)})\right) \\
= (Y - X^{(n-r-3)}) P_{k-1}^{(r+1)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(n-r-3)}; Y).$$

Then we have

$$B_{r+1,k} = \prod_{p=n-r-3}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) P_{k-1}^{(r+1)}(X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(n-r-3)}; Y).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$C_{r+1,k}^{(\ell)} = \prod_{p=n-r-3}^{n-2} (X^{(\ell)} - X^{(p)}) P_{k-1}^{(r+1)} (X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(n-r-2)}; X^{(n-r-3)}; X^{(\ell)}).$$

Repeating this procedure to r = n - 3, we finally obtain a matrix

$$Q_{n-3} = (B_{n-3,1} B_{n-3,2})$$

such that

$$Q \simeq \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & Q_{n-3} \\ * & ** \end{array}\right),$$

where

$$B_{n-3,1} = \prod_{p=1}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}),$$

$$B_{n-3,2} = \prod_{p=1}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) P_1^{(n-3)} (X^{(n-2)}, \dots, X^{(2)}; X^{(1)}; Y)$$
$$= \prod_{p=1}^{n-2} (Y - X^{(p)}) (Y + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-2} X^{(\ell)}).$$

Then we need only to show that

$$(B_{n-3} A B_{n-3} A)$$

is a period matrix of an abelian variety of dimension m. Let  $d(K_0)$  be the discriminant of  $K_0$ . Noting that  $|\det A|^2 = |d(K_0)| \ge 1$ , we obtain

$$(B_{n-3,1}A \ B_{n-3,2}A) \simeq ({}^{t}AA \ {}^{t}A(Y + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-2} X^{(\ell)})A).$$

Any entry of  ${}^{t}AA$  is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{ki} \alpha_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varrho_k(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \operatorname{Tr}_{K_0}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where we set  $\alpha_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha_{k0} = 1$ . It is obvious that  ${}^tA\left(Y + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-2} X^{(\ell)}\right)A$  is symmetric. Furthermore we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\left({}^{t}A\left(Y + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-2} X^{(\ell)}\right)A\right) = {}^{t}A\operatorname{Im}(Y)A > 0$$

by Lemma 2. Thus we complete the proof.

**R**EMARK. If K is a CM-field of degree 2n, then the period matrix of  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Psi(\mathfrak{o}_K)$  in our argument is P = (A YA). Then it is obvious that  $P \simeq ({}^tAA {}^tAYA)$  is a period matrix of an abelian variety. This is another way to show that any CM-field gives an abelian variety.

#### References

- [1] Y. Abe,  $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$ -quasi abelian varieties with complex multiplication, Forum Math., **25** (2013), 677–702.
- [2] Y. ABE and K. KOPFERMANN, Toroidal Groups, Lecture Notes in Math., 1759, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [3] A. Andreotti and F. Gherardelli, Seminario di Geometria, Anno 1972–73, II, Pubblicazioni del Centro di Analisi Globale, Firenze, 1973.

Yukitaka Abe

Graduate School of Science and Engineering for Research

University of Toyama,

Toyama 930-8555, Japan

e-mail: abe@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp

(Received February 25, 2012)